arXiv:math/0106018v1 [math.DG] 4 Jun 2001

BUNDLE 2-GERBES

DANIEL STEVENSON

Abstract. We make the category $\mathbf{BGrb}_M$ of bundle gerbes on a manifold M into a 2-category by providing 2-cells in the form of transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms. This description of $\mathbf{BGrb}_M$ as a 2-category is used to define the notion of a bundle 2-gerbe. To every bundle 2-gerbe on M is associated a class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We define the notion of a bundle 2-gerbe connection and show how this leads to a closed, integral, differential 4-form on M which represents the image in real cohomology of the class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Some examples of bundle 2-gerbes are discussed, including the bundle 2-gerbe associated to a principal G bundle $P \to M$. It is shown that the class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to this bundle 2-gerbe coincides with the first Pontryagin class of P — this example was previously considered from the point of view of 2-gerbes by Brylinski and McLaughlin.

1. Introduction

Recently there has been interest in developing higher dimensional analogues of line bundles — so-called p-gerbes or p-line bundles — which realise classes in $H^{p+1}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ for a manifold M. Part of the motivation for this comes from physicists, who wish to interpret closed p-forms with integral periods on M as a generalised curvature of a bundle-like object on M. A first step towards this goal was taken in the book [4] of Brylinski, who developed a theory of differential geometry for *gerbes*. Gerbes were orginally introduced (in a very general setting) by Giraud in [12] for the purposes of developing a degree 2 non-abelian cohomology theory. The theory described by Brylinski allows one to realise classes in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ as equivalence classes of (abelian) gerbes. Murray in [16] invented the notion of a *bundle gerbe*. Bundle gerbes are simpler objects than gerbes but still provide a geometric realisation of $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$. The theory of gerbes and bundle gerbes has proved to be very useful tool: in [8] and [9] the authors studied anomalies in quantum field theory with the aid of bundle gerbes, Hitchin in [14] has used the theory of gerbes in his study of mirror symmetry, while Brylinski has made extensive applications of gerbes — one example is his use of gerbes in [5] to give an interpretation of Beilinson's regulator maps in algebraic K-theory.

In [6] and [7] the authors constructed a canonical 2-gerbe associated to a principal G bundle $P \to M$ where G is a compact, simple, simply connected Lie group. 2-gerbes, introduced by Breen in [3], are higher dimensional analogues of gerbes. Breen used 2-gerbes to study three dimensional non-abelian sheaf cohomology, however there is a certain class of 2-gerbes — 2-gerbes *bound* by the sheaf of abelian groups $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_M$ — that give rise to classes in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ via the exponential isomorphism $H^3(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_M) = H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$. This is the class of 2-gerbes studied by Brylinski

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18D05, 55R65.

The author acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council.

and McLaughlin. They show that the canonical 2-gerbe associated to the principal bundle P has class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ equal to p_1, the first Pontryagin class of P.

We shall consider here a related geometric object, the bundle 2-gerbe. Bundle 2-gerbes were originally introduced in [10] — we shall use a modification of the definition used there. A bundle 2-gerbe is a quadruple of manifolds (Q, Y, X, M) where $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ is a bundle gerbe [16] over the fibre product $X^{[2]}$. We also require that there is a bundle 2-gerbe product. In fact this requires two product structures, the first of which is a product on Y, which on the fibres takes the form $Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)} \to Y_{(x_1,x_3)}$ for points x_1, x_2 and x_3 all lying in the same fibre. There is also a product in Q covering this product on Y, and which commutes with the bundle gerbe product on $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$. This product on Q satisfies a certain associativity condition. One can associate to a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ valued Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl} representing a class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$. One can also develop the notion of a bundle 2-gerbe connection and a 2-curving for a bundle 2-gerbe connection in an analogous manner to [16] and show that a bundle 2-gerbe equipped with such structures has a 4-curvature. This is a closed, integral differential 4-form on M which is a representative in $H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ for the image, in real cohomology, of the class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ defined by the cocycle g_{ijkl}.

There is a naturally arising bundle 2-gerbe Q associated to a principal G bundle P on M where G is as above. If one calculates the Čech cocycle g_{ijkl} associated to Q then one recovers the results of [6] and [7] giving an explicit cocycle formula for the first Pontryagin class of P.

In outline then this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review the theory of bundle gerbes from [16]. In Section 3 we discuss a gluing or 'descent' construction for line bundles from [4]. In Section 4 we explain how to make the category of bundle gerbes on a manifold M into a 2-category by adding 2-cells in the form of transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms. This allows us in Section 5 to 'categorify' the definition of a bundle gerbe, so as to define a bundle 2-gerbe. The relationship of bundle 2-gerbes with bicategories [2] is also examined here. This is also preparation for Section 6 where an example of a bundle 2-gerbe — the tautological bundle 2-gerbe — is introduced via the homotopy bigroupoid of a space. A Čech 3-class is associated to a bundle 2-gerbe in Section 7 and a de Rham representative for this class is defined in Section 8 via the notion of a bundle 2-gerbe connection. In Section 9 the example of a bundle 2-gerbe associated to a principal G-bundle is discussed and, using the work of Brylinski and McLaughlin, it is shown that the 4-class of this bundle 2-gerbe coincides with the first Pontryagin class of the bundle. In Sections 10 and 11 we discuss higher descent properties of bundle 2-gerbes and define the notion of a trivial bundle 2-gerbe. We finally show that a bundle 2-gerbe is trivial if and only if its 4-class vanishes. We will not discuss the relationship of bundle 2-gerbes with 2-gerbes, this will be done elsewhere [20]. For some preliminary results in this direction one can consult [19].

This work is clearly influenced by the ideas presented in [6] and [7]. I am very grateful to Michael Murray for his supervision of my PhD thesis and for his help in the preparation of this paper.

2. Review of Bundle Gerbes

Let $\pi\colon X \to M$ be a surjection admitting local sections. Let $X^{[2]} = X \times_M X$ denote the fiber product of X with itself over M and let $X^{[p]} = X \times_M X \times_M \cdots \times_M X$

denote the p-fold such fiber product. We can form a simplicial manifold $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$ and the face and degeneracy operators d_i and s_i given by omitting the i^{th} factor and repeating the i^{th} factor respectively. Thus the face operators $d_i \colon X^{[p+1]} \to X^{[p]}$ are given by $d_i = \pi_i$ where

$$\pi_i(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{p+1})$$

for $i = 1, \dots, p+1$ and for $p = 1, 2, \dots$. Recall from [16] that a *bundle gerbe* consists of a triple (P, X, M) where $\pi \colon X \to M$ is a surjection admitting local sections and P is a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on $X^{[2]}$ with a product. This means that there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism

$$m_P \colon \pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P \to \pi_2^{-1}P$$

covering the identity on $X^{[3]}$. Here $\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P$ denotes the *contracted product* of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $\pi_1^{-1}P$ and $\pi_3^{-1}P$ — see [4]. Fiberwise the bundle gerbe product m_P is a map

$$m_P \colon P_{(x_2,x_3)} \otimes P_{(x_1,x_2)} \to P_{(x_1,x_3)}$$

for $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X^{[3]}$ and we usually write $u_{23}u_{12}$ for $m_P(u_{23} \otimes u_{12})$ when $u_{23} \in P_{(x_2,x_3)}$ and $u_{12} \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$. The bundle gerbe product m_P is required to be associative in the following sense: whenever $u_{34} \in P_{(x_3,x_4)}$, $u_{23} \in P_{(x_2,x_3)}$ and $u_{12} \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$ for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in X^{[4]}$ we have $u_{34}(u_{23}u_{12}) = (u_{34}u_{23})u_{12}$. When M is understood we will frequently write (P, X) or even P for (P, X, M).

Recall that a bundle gerbe also has an *identity* section; this is a section e of P over the diagonal $\Delta(X) = \{(x, x) | x \in X\} \subset X^{[2]}$ which behaves as an identity with respect to the bundle gerbe product. So if $u \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$ then we have $ue(x_1) = u = e(x_2)u$. A bundle gerbe also has an inverse map $P \to inv^{-1}P$ where $inv \colon X^{[2]} \to X^{[2]}$ is the map which switches an ordered pair (x_1, x_2), so $inv(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$. We denote the image of $u \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$ under $P \to inv^{-1}P$ by u^{-1} — this has all the desired properties: $uu^{-1} = e(x_2)$, $(uv)^{-1} = v^{-1}u^{-1}$ and so on. Note also that we can identify $inv^{-1}P$ with P^*, the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P with the action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ changed to its inverse. For more details we refer to [16].

Various operations can be performed on bundle gerbes; for example there is the notion of the *pullback* $(f^{-1}P, f^{-1}X, N)$ of a bundle gerbe (P, X) on M by a map $f \colon N \to M$. One can also form the *product* $(P \otimes Q, X \times_M Y)$ of two bundle gerbes (P, X) and (Q, Y) on M. Given a bundle gerbe (P, X) we can also form its *dual* (P^*, X). We refer to [16] for more details on these constructions.

Suppose $Q \to X$ is a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on X and $\pi \colon X \to M$ is a local-section-admitting surjection. Let P be the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on $X^{[2]}$ with fibre

$$P_{(x,y)} = \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(Q_x, Q_y) \tag{1}$$

at $(x, y) \in X^{[2]}$. Q has an associative product via composition of isomorphisms. A bundle gerbe isomorphic to a bundle gerbe of the form (1) via an isomorphism preserving the bundle gerbe products is said to be *trivial.* The notation $\delta(Q) = \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q^*$ is frequently used to denote the bundle gerbe (1).

In [16] the notion of a *bundle gerbe connection* on a bundle gerbe (P, X) was introduced. Before we recall this notion it is useful to note (see [8]) that we can reformulate the definition of a bundle gerbe in terms of line bundles and line bundle isomorphisms by replacing the principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P with its associated line bundle L. Then L has an associative product $m_L \colon \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}L \to \pi_2^{-1}L$ described in the same manner above. A bundle gerbe connection on P then is a connection ∇_L on L which is compatible with the bundle gerbe product m_L in the sense that

$$\pi_1^{-1}\nabla_L + \pi_3^{-1}\nabla_L = m_L^{-1} \circ \pi_2^{-1}\nabla_L \circ m_L.$$

It is easy to see that the curvature F_{∇_L} of a bundle gerbe connection ∇_L satisfies $\delta(F_{\nabla_L}) = 0$. Here $\delta\colon \Omega^p(X^{[q]}) \to \Omega^p(X^{[q+1]})$ is the map formed by adding the pullback maps π_i^* with an alternating sign: $\delta = \sum(-1)^i\pi_i^*$. Therefore δ commutes with the exterior derivative d and, since the π_i are face maps for a simplicial manifold, it follows that $\delta^2 = 0$. Hence we have a complex

$$\Omega^p(M) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \Omega^p(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^p(X^{[2]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^p(X^{[q]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \tag{2}$$

It is a fundamental result of [16] that the complex (2) has no cohomology as long as M supports partitions of unity. Hence we can solve the equation $F_{\nabla_L} = \delta(f)$ for some two form f on X. Following [16] we call a choice of this two form f a *curving* for the bundle gerbe connection ∇_L. From the equation $F_{\nabla_L} = \delta(f)$ we obtain $\delta(df) = 0$ and hence $df = \pi^*(\omega)$ for some necessarily closed three form ω on M. One can show that ω has integral periods and hence is a representative of the image in $H^3(M;\mathbb{R})$ of a class in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We call the three form ω the 3-*curvature* of the bundle gerbe connection ∇_L and curving f.

One can associate to any bundle gerbe P on M a $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$-valued Čech 2-cocycle g_{ijk} as described in [16]. g_{ijk} is a representative of a characteristic class $DD(P)$ in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ — the Dixmier-Douady class of the bundle gerbe P. The 3-curvature ω of a bundle gerbe connection on P is a representative for the image, in real cohomology, of $DD(P)$. The Dixmier-Douady class has the following properties.

Proposition 2.1 ([16])**.** *The Dixmier-Douady class $DD(P)$ of a bundle gerbe P on M satisfies*

1. *$DD(P \otimes Q) = DD(P) + DD(Q)$ for bundle gerbes P and Q on M.*
2. *$DD(P^*) = -DD(P)$ where P^* is the dual of the bundle gerbe P.*
3. *$DD(f^{-1}P) = f^*DD(P)$ where $f^{-1}P$ denotes the pullback of the bundle gerbe P on M by a map $f\colon N \to M$.*

Recall from [16] that a *bundle gerbe morphism* $f\colon P \to Q$ between bundle gerbes $P = (P,X)$ and $Q = (Q,Y)$ is a triple of maps $f = (\hat{f}, f, \phi)$ where $f\colon X \to Y$ is a map commuting with the projections $\pi_X\colon X \to M$, $\pi_Y\colon Y \to M$ and covering $\phi\colon M \to M$, while $\hat{f}\colon P \to Q$ is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle morphism covering the induced map $f^{[2]}\colon X^{[2]} \to Y^{[2]}$. We will only be interested in the case where $\phi = \mathrm{id}_M$. One could define an isomorphism of bundle gerbes P and Q to be a morphism of bundle gerbes $(\hat{f}, f, \phi)\colon P \to Q$ in which each map was an isomorphism, however it is not true that isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes are in a bijective correspondence with $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Instead, one can consider the weaker notion of *stable isomorphism* [17] of bundle gerbes and show that there is a bijection between stable isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes and $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

3. The Generalised Clutching Construction

Recall the following result from [4].

Lemma 3.1 ([4])**.** *Suppose $\pi\colon X \to M$ is a surjection admitting local sections and that P is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on X together with an isomorphism $\phi\colon \pi_2^{-1}P \to \pi_1^{-1}P$ which* *satisfies the descent cocycle condition*

$$\pi_1^{-1}\phi \circ \pi_3^{-1}\phi = \pi_2^{-1}\phi \tag{3}$$

over $X^{[3]}$*. Then the* $\mathbb{C}^\times$ *bundle* P *descends to* M*, ie there is a* $\mathbb{C}^\times$ *bundle* $Q = D(P)$ *on* M *plus an isomorphism* $\psi\colon P \to \pi^{-1}Q$ *which is compatible with* ϕ*. The converse is also true.*

The $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism ϕ above is called a *descent isomorphism.* Note that fiberwise ϕ is a map $P_{x_1} \to P_{x_2}$ and the descent cocycle condition (3) is simply that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_{x_1} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & P_{x_2} \arrow[dl] \\
& P_{x_3} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. We give an example of this kind of formalism below.

Example 3.1. Suppose (P, X) is a bundle gerbe on M and suppose that there are two trivialisations T_1 and T_2 of P on X. Thus there exist isomorphisms $P = \delta(T_1)$ and $P = \delta(T_2)$ commuting with the respective bundle gerbe products. It is easy to see that there is a trivialisation of the bundle $\delta(T_1 \otimes T_2^*)$ over $X^{[2]}$. This corresponds to an isomorphism $\phi\colon \pi_1^{-1}(T_1 \otimes T_2^*) \to \pi_2^{-1}(T_1 \otimes T_2^*)$ covering the identity on $X^{[2]}$. Since the isomorphisms $P = \delta(T_1)$ and $P = \delta(T_2)$ commute with the bundle gerbe products on the respective bundle gerbes, one can show that ϕ satisfies the descent cocycle condition. Hence the bundle $T_1 \otimes T_2^*$ descends to a bundle D on M, ie there is an isomorphism $T_1 = T_2 \otimes \pi^{-1}D$ of bundles on X, where $\pi\colon X \to M$ denotes the projection.

There is the following strengthening of the above lemma [4]: there is an equivalence of categories $D\colon \mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \to \mathbf{Bund}_M$ between the so called *descent* category $\mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$ and the category of principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $\mathbf{Bund}_M$ on M. Here $\mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$ is the category whose objects are pairs (P, ϕ) where $\phi\colon \pi_2^{-1}P \to \pi_1^{-1}P$ is a descent isomorphism as above and whose arrows $(P, \phi) \to (Q, \psi)$ are $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphisms $f\colon P \to Q$ compatible with ϕ and ψ, so the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_2^{-1}P \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}f"] \arrow[d, "\phi"'] & \pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
\pi_1^{-1}P \arrow[r, "\pi_1^{-1}f"] & \pi_1^{-1}Q.
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is clear that the operation D which associates the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D(P)$ on M to a bundle P on X with a descent isomorphism ϕ extends to an operation on maps — if $f\colon (P, \phi) \to (Q, \psi)$ then there is an induced map $D(f)\colon D(P) \to D(Q)$ — and this operation is functorial with respect to composition of maps.

One other point to note is that if we make $\mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$ and $\mathbf{Bund}_M$ into monoidal categories via the contracted product $\otimes$ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles, then the equivalence of categories $D\colon \mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \to \mathbf{Bund}_M$ commutes with $\otimes$ up to natural isomorphism. More specifically, we define a functor $\otimes\colon \mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \times \mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \to \mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$ by a map on objects given by $\otimes((P, \phi), (Q, \psi)) = (P \otimes Q, \phi \otimes$

ψ) and by a map on arrows given by $\otimes(f,g) = f \otimes g$. Then there is a natural isomorphism between the functors bounding the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \times \mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \arrow[r, "D \times D"] \arrow[d, "\otimes"'] & \mathbf{Bund}_M \times \mathbf{Bund}_M \arrow[d, "\otimes"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \\
\mathbf{Desc}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \arrow[r, "D"] & \mathbf{Bund}_M .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that such an isomorphism amounts to an isomorphism $D(P) \otimes D(Q) \to D(P \otimes Q)$ which is natural with respect to maps.

4. The 2-Category of Bundle Gerbes

Given bundle gerbes $P = (P, X)$ and $Q = (Q, Y)$ together with a pair of bundle gerbe morphisms $f, g \colon P \to Q$ with $f = (\hat{f}, f)$ and $g = (\hat{g}, g)$ let $\hat{D}_{f,g}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(f,g)^{-1}Q$ on X. Therefore $\hat{D}_{f,g}$ has fibre $Q_{(f(x),g(x))}$ at $x \in X$. We will construct a descent isomorphism $\phi_{f,g} \colon \pi_2^{-1}\hat{D}_{f,g} \to \pi_1^{-1}\hat{D}_{f,g}$ for $\hat{D}_{f,g}$. Suppose $v \in (\pi_2^{-1}\hat{D}_{f,g})_{(x_1,x_2)} = (\hat{D}_{f,g})_{x_1}$. Thus $v \in Q_{(f(x_1),g(x_1))}$. Choose $u \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$ and put $\phi_{f,g}(v) = \hat{g}(u)(v\hat{f}(u^{-1}))$. Notice that this is independent of the choice of $u \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$. $\phi_{f,g}$ is a descent isomorphism — ie it satisfies

$$\pi_1^{-1}\phi_{f,g} \circ \pi_3^{-1}\phi_{f,g} = \pi_2^{-1}\phi_{f,g}$$

over $X^{[3]}$. This is a consequence of the associativity of the bundle gerbe products on P and Q. We have the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1 ([19])**.**

1. *Suppose (P, X) and (Q, Y) are bundle gerbes on M and that there exist bundle gerbe morphisms $f \colon P \to Q$ and $g \colon P \to Q$. Then the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{D}_{f,g} = (f,g)^{-1}Q$ on X descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{f,g} = D(\hat{D}_{f,g})$ on M.*
2. *Suppose that P, Q, f and g are as above and that there is a third bundle gerbe morphism $h \colon P \to Q$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$D_{g,h} \otimes D_{f,g} \simeq D_{f,h}$$

   of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on M.
3. *Suppose that P and Q are as above but now we have bundle gerbe morphisms $f, g, h, k \colon P \to Q$. Then the following diagram of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphisms on M commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_{h,k} \otimes D_{g,h} \otimes D_{f,g} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D_{h,k} \otimes D_{f,h} \arrow[d] \\
D_{g,k} \otimes D_{f,g} \arrow[r] & D_{f,k}
\end{tikzcd}$$

   where the isomorphisms are those of (2) above.

(2) of this lemma is proved by noticing that the bundle gerbe product on Q gives an isomorphism $(g,h)^{-1}Q \otimes (f,g)^{-1}Q \to (f,h)^{-1}Q$ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on X which commutes with the descent isomorphisms for $(g,h)^{-1}Q \otimes (f,g)^{-1}Q$ and $(f,h)^{-1}Q$ respectively. Therefore there is an induced isomorphism $D((g,h)^{-1}Q \otimes (f,g)^{-1}Q) \to D((f,h)^{-1}Q)$. (3) of the lemma is proved similarly, using the associativity of the

bundle gerbe product on Q, the functorality of the operation D, and the fact that D commutes with $\otimes$ up to natural isomorphism.

This Lemma suggests the following Definition.

Definition 4.2 ([19])**.** Let (P, X) and (Q, Y) be bundle gerbes on M. A *transformation* $\theta\colon f \Rightarrow g$ between two bundle gerbe morphisms $f, g\colon P \to Q$ is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{f,g} = D(\hat{D}_{f,g})$ on M.

We would like to form a category $\mathbf{Hom}(P, Q)$ associated to bundle gerbes (P, X) and (Q, Y) with the bundle gerbe morphisms $P \to Q$ as objects. Therefore we would like to be able to compose transformations between bundle gerbe morphisms. A way to do this is suggested by the previous lemma. Given bundle gerbe morphisms $f, g, h\colon P \to Q$ together with transformations $\theta\colon f \Rightarrow g$ and $\lambda\colon g \Rightarrow h$ then we have the induced section $\lambda \otimes \theta$ of $D_{g,h} \otimes D_{f,g}$. We define the composed transformation $\lambda\theta\colon f \Rightarrow h$ to be the image of this section $\lambda \otimes \theta$ under the isomorphism $D_{g,h} \otimes D_{f,g} \to D_{f,h}$. By the lemma above this operation of composition is associative. We can define an identity transformation $1_f\colon f \Rightarrow f$ by noticing that the identity section of the bundle gerbe Q pullsback to define a section $\hat{1}_f$ of $(f, f)^{-1}Q$ which is compatible with the descent isomorphism for $\hat{D}_{f,f} = (f, f)^{-1}Q$. Therefore it descends to a section 1_f of $D_{f,f}$ and it is straightforward to check that this acts as an identity.

The case where the manifold M is a point illuminates the preceding discussion. In this case a bundle gerbe over a point becomes a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoid — ie a groupoid such that the automorphism groups of each object of the groupoid are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Following [16] we define the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoid $\mathbf{Gr}(P)$ associated to a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) when the manifold M is restricted to a point $m_0 \in M$ as follows. We let the objects of the groupoid $\mathbf{Gr}(P)$ be the points of X_{m_0} where $X_{m_0} = \pi^{-1}(m_0)$. Given two points of X_{m_0}, x_1 and x_2, we define the set of arrows $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ from x_1 to x_2 in $\mathbf{Gr}(P)$ to be the points of the fiber $P_{(x_1,x_2)}$. Composition of arrows in $\mathbf{Gr}(P)$ is then provided by the bundle gerbe product on P and the identity arrow from a point x to itself is provided by the identity section $e(x)$ of P evaluated at the point x. Since inverses exist in P every arrow is invertible and it is not hard to see that $\mathbf{Gr}(P)$ is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoid. Thus we have a family of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoids, indexed by the points of M. It is in this sense that a bundle gerbe is a 'bundle of groupoids'.

It is not hard to see that in this case, when M is restricted to a point, a bundle gerbe morphism $f\colon P \to Q$ induces a functor $f\colon \mathbf{Gr}(P) \to \mathbf{Gr}(Q)$ (the important point here is that $\hat{f}$ preserves the bundle gerbe products on P and Q). Suppose that we are given a second bundle gerbe morphism $g\colon P \to Q$ and a transformation $\theta\colon f \Rightarrow g$. So θ is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{f,g} = D(\hat{D}_{f,g})$ on M and hence lifts to a section $\hat{\theta}$ of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{D}_{f,g} = (f, g)^{-1}Q$ on X. It follows from the definition of $\hat{D}_{f,g}$ that we have the following isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on $X^{[2]}$:

$$\psi\colon \pi_1^{-1}\hat{D}_{f,g} \otimes (f^{[2]})^{-1}Q \xrightarrow{\simeq} (g^{[2]})^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_2^{-1}\hat{D}_{f,g}.$$

It also follows that the section $\hat{\theta}$ of $\hat{D}_{f,g}$ is compatible with this isomorphism in the sense that $\psi(\hat{\theta}(x_2) \otimes \tilde{f}(u)) = \tilde{g}(u) \otimes \hat{\theta}(x_1)$ where $u \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$ and $\tilde{f}\colon P \to (f^{[2]})^{-1}Q$ and $\tilde{g}\colon P \to (g^{[2]})^{-1}Q$ are induced by $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ respectively. When we restrict M to a point $m_0 \in M$, this is exactly the condition that $\hat{\theta}$ defines a natural transformation (in fact a natural isomorphism) between the functors f and g.

We would like to define a 2-*category* $\mathbf{BGrb}_M$ whose objects are the bundle gerbes P on M. We refer to [15] for the definition of a 2-category (see also Section 5).

We take as the objects of $\mathbf{BGrb}_M$ the bundle gerbes P on M, and given two bundle gerbes P and Q on M, we define the category $\mathbf{Hom}(P,Q)$ as above. Thus the objects of $\mathbf{Hom}(P,Q)$ (1-arrows of $\mathbf{BGrb}_M$) are the bundle gerbe morphisms $P \to Q$ and the arrows of $\mathbf{Hom}(P,Q)$ (2-arrows of $\mathbf{BGrb}_M$) are the transformations $\theta\colon f \Rightarrow g$. We need to define a composition functor

$$m\colon \mathbf{Hom}(Q,R) \times \mathbf{Hom}(P,Q) \to \mathbf{Hom}(P,R).$$

It is clear how to define the action of m on 1-arrows: if $g\colon Q \to R$ and $f\colon P \to Q$ are bundle gerbe morphisms, then we put $m(g,f) = g \circ f$. It is not so clear how to define the action of m on 2-arrows. However we have the following result from [19].

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose we are given three bundle gerbes (P,X), (Q,Y) and (R,Z) on M together with bundle gerbe morphisms $f_1, f_2\colon P \to Q$ and $g_1, g_2\colon Q \to R$. Then we have the following isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on M:*

$$D_{g_1\circ f_1, g_2\circ f_2} \simeq D_{f_1,f_2} \otimes D_{g_1,g_2}.$$

This Lemma suggests a way to define the action of m on 2-arrows. Suppose $\theta\colon f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$ is a transformation between bundle gerbe morphisms $(P,X) \to (Q,Y)$ and that $\lambda\colon g_1 \Rightarrow g_2$ is a transformation of bundle gerbe morphisms $(Q,Y) \to (R,Z)$. Then θ and λ lift to sections $\hat\theta$ and $\hat\lambda$ of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $\hat D_{f_1,f_2} = (f_1,f_2)^{-1}Q$ and $\hat D_{g_1,g_2} = (g_1,g_2)^{-1}R$ on X and Y respectively. $\hat g_2$ induces an isomorphism $\tilde g_2\colon Q \to (g_2^{[2]})^{-1}R$, so if $x \in X$ then $\tilde g_2(\hat\theta(x)) \in R_{(g_2\circ f_1(x), g_2\circ f_2(x))}$. Let $f_1^{-1}\hat\lambda$ denote the section of the pullback bundle $f_1^{-1}\hat D_{g_1,g_2} = (g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_1)^{-1}R$ on X. Then if $x \in X$, $f_1^{-1}\hat\lambda(x) \in R_{(g_1\circ f_1(x), g_2\circ f_1(x))}$ and so $\tilde g_2(\hat\theta) f_1^{-1}\hat\lambda(x) \in R_{(g_1\circ f_1(x), g_2\circ f_2(x))}$. It is easy to check that $\tilde g_2(\hat\theta) f_1^{-1}\hat\lambda$ commutes with the descent isomorphism for $\hat D_{g_1\circ f_1, g_2\circ f_2} = (g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_2)^{-1}R$ and therefore descends to a section $\theta \circ \lambda$ of $D_{g_1\circ f_1, g_2\circ f_2}$.

Note that fiberwise, ie regarding bundle gerbes as being bundles of groupoids, this is simply the operation of composing natural transformations in the 2-category **Cat** with categories as objects, functors as 1-arrows and natural transformations as 2-arrows — recall that if we have categories $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ together with functors $F_1, F_2\colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ and $G_1, G_2\colon \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{E}$ plus natural transformations $\alpha\colon F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$ and $\beta\colon G_1 \Rightarrow G_2$ then one can define the composed natural transformation $\beta \circ \alpha\colon G_1 \circ F_1 \Rightarrow G_2 \circ F_2$.

We need to show that the action of m on 2-arrows is functorial. Suppose that we have bundle gerbes P, Q and R, bundle gerbe morphisms $f_1, f_2, f_3\colon P \to Q$, $g_1, g_2, g_3\colon Q \to R$ and transformations between them as pictured in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=large]
P \arrow[r, bend left=60, "f_1", ""{name=F1, below}] \arrow[r, "f_2" description, ""{name=F2a, above}, ""{name=F2b, below}] \arrow[r, bend right=60, "f_3"', ""{name=F3, above}] & Q \arrow[r, bend left=60, "g_1", ""{name=G1, below}] \arrow[r, "g_2" description, ""{name=G2a, above}, ""{name=G2b, below}] \arrow[r, bend right=60, "g_3"', ""{name=G3, above}] & R.
\arrow[Rightarrow, from=F1, to=F2a, "\theta_{12}"]
\arrow[Rightarrow, from=F2b, to=F3, "\theta_{23}"]
\arrow[Rightarrow, from=G1, to=G2a, "\lambda_{12}"]
\arrow[Rightarrow, from=G2b, to=G3, "\lambda_{23}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

To show that m is a functor we need to show that the two different ways of composing 2-arrows coincide — ie $(\lambda_{23}\lambda_{12}) \circ (\theta_{23}\theta_{12}) = (\lambda_{23} \circ \theta_{23})(\lambda_{12} \circ \theta_{12})$. It is sufficient to show that

$$\tilde g_3(\hat\theta_{23}) f_2^{-1}\hat\lambda_{23} \tilde g_2(\hat\theta_{12}) f_1^{-1}\hat\lambda_{12} = \tilde g_3(\hat\theta_{23}\hat\theta_{12}) f_1^{-1}(\hat\lambda_{23}\hat\lambda_{12}).$$

Since $\hat{\lambda}_{23}$ is compatible with the descent isomorphisms for the bundle $\hat{D}_{g_2,g_3}$, we have $\hat{\lambda}_{23}(y_2)\hat{g}_2(u) = \hat{g}_3(u)\hat{\lambda}_{23}(y_1)$ for $(y_1, y_2) \in Y^{[2]}$ and $u \in Q_{(y_1,y_2)}$. Therefore $f_2^{-1}\hat{\lambda}_{23}\tilde{g}_2(\hat{\theta}_{12}) = \tilde{g}_3(\hat{\theta}_{12})f_1^{-1}\hat{\lambda}_{23}$, which establishes the equation above. One can also check that the functor m is associative and that identity 1-arrows and identity 2-arrows behave as they should with respect to composition by m. Hence we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4 ([19])**.** *There is a* 2*-category* $\mathbf{BGrb}_M$ *whose objects are bundle gerbes P on M,* 1*-arrows are bundle gerbe morphisms $P \to Q$ and whose* 2*-arrows are transformations between bundle gerbe morphisms with the composition laws given as above.*

5. Simplicial Bundle Gerbes and Bundle 2-Gerbes

We use our description of $\mathbf{BGrb}_M$ as a 2-category to define the notion of a *simplicial bundle gerbe* on a simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$. We are motivated by Brylinski and McLaughlin's definitions of a *simplicial line bundle* ([6] Definition 5.1) and a *simplicial gerbe* ([6] page 617). We record here the definition of a simplicial line bundle.

Definition 5.1 ([6])**.** A *simplicial line bundle* on a simplicial manifold $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}$ consists of the following data:

1. a line bundle $L \to X_1$
2. a non-vanishing section s of the line bundle $\delta(L)$ on X_2 where
$$\delta(L) = d_0^{-1}L \otimes d_1^{-1}L^* \otimes d_2^{-1}L,$$
where $d_i\colon X_p \to X_{p-1}$ denote the face operators of the simplicial manifold $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}$.
3. s induces a non-vanishing section $\delta(s)$ of the line bundle $\delta\delta(L)$ on X_3 where $\delta\delta(L)$ is defined by
$$\delta\delta(L) = d_0^{-1}\delta(L) \otimes d_1^{-1}\delta(L)^* \otimes d_2^{-1}\delta(L) \otimes d_3^{-1}\delta(L)^*$$
and $\delta(s) = d_0^{-1}s \otimes d_1^{-1}s^* \otimes d_2^{-1}s \otimes d_3^{-1}s^*$. Notice that as a result of the simplicial identities satisfied by the face operators $d_i\colon X_p \to X_{p-1}$ the line bundle $\delta\delta(L)$ is canonically trivialised. We demand that $\delta(s)$ matches this canonical trivialisation.

Note the following consequences of this definition.

(i) The non-vanishing section s of $\delta(L)$ defines a line bundle isomorphism $d_0^{-1}L \otimes d_2^{-1}L \to d_1^{-1}L$ covering the identity on X_2. The coherency condition on s is equivalent to this line bundle isomorphism satisfying an 'associativity' condition on X_3.

(ii) In the special case where the simplicial manifold $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}$ is the simplicial manifold associated to a surjection $\pi\colon X \to M$ which locally admits sections, then a simplicial line bundle on $X_\bullet$ recovers the definition of a bundle gerbe.

(iii) Another important special case is when $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}$ is the simplicial manifold NG associated to the classifying space of a Lie group G (see [11]). Then a simplicial line bundle on NG is the same thing as a central extension of G by $\mathbb{C}^\times$ ([6]).

We use the notion of a simplicial line bundle to motivate our definition of a simplicial bundle gerbe. To avoid cluttered notation later on, it is convenient to restrict attention to the simplicial manifold $X_\bullet$ associated to a surjection $\pi\colon X \to M$ which admits local sections. This will not affect our results at all; everything we say will be true for an arbitrary simplicial manifold, however it is easier to state this for $X_\bullet$.

We start with a bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ on $X^{[2]}$. We suppose there is a bundle gerbe morphism $m\colon \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$. It is convenient to introduce some new notation (analogous to that used in [16]) to avoid large, complicated diagrams. Let us denote by $Y \circ Y \to X^{[3]}$ the local-section-admitting surjection whose fiber at a point $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X^{[3]}$ is $Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)}$. So $Y \circ Y = \pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y$. Another way of looking at this is that $Y \circ Y$ is the restriction of $Y \times Y$ to $(Y \times Y)|_{X^{[2]} \circ X^{[2]}}$ where $X^{[2]} \circ X^{[2]} = \{((x,y),(y,z))|\ (x,y),(y,z) \in X^{[2]}\} = X^{[3]}$. A point of $Y \circ Y$ is of the form (y_{23}, y_{12}) where $y_{23} \in Y_{(x_2,x_3)}$ and $y_{12} \in Y_{(x_1,x_2)}$ for some point $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X^{[3]}$. Similarly let $Q \circ Q$ denote the restriction of $Q \otimes Q \to Y^{[2]} \times Y^{[2]}$ to $(Y \circ Y)^{[2]} \subset Y^{[2]} \times Y^{[2]}$. Thus $Q \circ Q = \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q$ and has fiber $(Q \circ Q)_{((y_{23},y_{12}),(y'_{23},y'_{12}))}$ at a point $((y_{23}, y_{12}), (y'_{23}, y'_{12}))$ of $(Y \circ Y)^{[2]}$ equal to $Q_{(y_{23},y'_{23})} \otimes Q_{(y_{12},y'_{12})}$.

By construction the triple $(Q \circ Q, Y \circ Y, X^{[3]})$ is a bundle gerbe — the bundle gerbe $(\pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q, \pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y, X^{[3]})$. The bundle gerbe morphism $m\colon \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ is then a bundle gerbe morphism (also denoted m) $Q \circ Q \to Q$ covering the map $\pi_2\colon X^{[3]} \to X^{[2]}$ sending a point (x_1, x_2, x_3) of $X^{[3]}$ to the point (x_1, x_3) of $X^{[2]}$. Over $X^{[4]}$ we can define another bundle gerbe $(Q \circ Q \circ Q, Y \circ Y \circ Y, X^{[4]})$ where $Y \circ Y \circ Y \to X^{[4]}$ is the local-section-admitting surjection with fiber

$$Y_{(x_3,x_4)} \times Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)}$$

over a point (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4). $Q^{\circ^3} = Q \circ Q \circ Q$ is defined in an analogous fashion to $Q \circ Q$ above. The bundle gerbe morphism m gives rise to two bundle gerbe morphisms $m_1, m_2\colon Q^{\circ^3} \to Q$ which cover the map $X^{[4]} \to X^{[2]}$ which sends (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) to (x_1, x_4). We have $m_1 = (\hat{m}_1, m_1)$, $m_2 = (\hat{m}_2, m_2)$ where $m_1, m_2\colon Y^{\circ^3} = Y \circ Y \circ Y \to Y$ are given by $m_1(y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) = m(m(y_{34}, y_{23}), y_{12})$ and $m_2(y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) = m(y_{34}, m(y_{23}, y_{12}))$, and $\hat{m}_1, \hat{m}_2\colon Q^{\circ^3} \to Q$ are given by $\hat{m}_1(u_{34} \otimes u_{23} \otimes u_{12}) = \hat{m}(\hat{m}(u_{34} \otimes u_{23}) \otimes u_{12})$ and $\hat{m}_2(u_{34} \otimes u_{23} \otimes u_{12}) = \hat{m}(u_{34} \otimes \hat{m}(u_{23} \otimes u_{12}))$, for $u_{ij} \in Q_{(y_{ij},y'_{ij})}$. We demand that there is a transformation of bundle gerbe morphisms $a\colon m_1 \Rightarrow m_2$. Recall that this means there is a section $\hat{a}$ of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q$ on Y°^3} which descends to a section a of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $A = D((m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q) = D_{m_1,m_2}$ on $X^{[4]}$.

Finally, over $X^{[5]}$ we can define a bundle gerbe $(Q^{\circ^4}, Y^{\circ^4}, X^{[5]})$ where Q°^4} and Y°^4} are defined in the obvious way. So for example, Y°^4} is the local-section-admitting surjection on $X^{[5]}$ with fiber

$$Y_{(x_4,x_5)} \times Y_{(x_3,x_4)} \times Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)}$$

at a point $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in X^{[5]}$. Now the bundle gerbe morphism m gives rise to five bundle gerbe morphisms $M_i\colon Q^{\circ^4} \to Q$, $i = 1, \ldots 5$ covering the map $X^{[5]} \to X^{[2]}$ which sends $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ to (x_1, x_5). The bundle gerbe morphisms M_i are given as follows: $M_1 = m(m \circ 1)(m \circ 1 \circ 1)$, $M_2 = m(m \circ 1)(1 \circ m \circ 1)$, $M_3 = m(1 \circ m)(1 \circ m \circ 1)$, $M_4 = m(1 \circ m)(1 \circ 1 \circ m)$, $M_5 = m(m \circ 1)(1 \circ 1 \circ m)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Q^{\circ^4} \arrow[rr, "m\circ 1\circ 1"] \arrow[dl, "1\circ 1\circ m"'] \arrow[dr, "1\circ m\circ 1"] & & Q^{\circ^3} \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "a\circ 1"'] \arrow[dr, "m\circ 1"] & \\
Q^{\circ^3} \arrow[dr, "1\circ m"'] & & Q^{\circ^3} \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "1\circ a"'] \arrow[rr, "m\circ 1"] \arrow[dl, "1\circ m"'] & & Q^{\circ^2} \arrow[dlll, Rightarrow, "a"] \arrow[dl, "m"] \\
& Q^{\circ^2} \arrow[rr, "m"'] & {} \arrow[d, equal] & Q & \\
& Q^{\circ^4} \arrow[rr, "m\circ 1\circ 1"] \arrow[dl, "1\circ 1\circ m"'] & {} & Q^{\circ^3} \arrow[dlll, equal] \arrow[dl, "1\circ m"] \arrow[dr, "m\circ 1"] & \\
Q^{\circ^3} \arrow[rr, "m\circ 1"'] \arrow[dr, "1\circ m"'] & & Q^{\circ^2} \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "a"'] \arrow[dr, "m"'] & & Q^{\circ^2} \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "a"] \arrow[dl, "m"] \\
& Q^{\circ^2} \arrow[rr, "m"'] & & Q &
\end{tikzcd}$$

FIGURE 1. Coherency condition for associator transformation

Here we have abused notation and denoted for example by $m \circ 1$ the bundle gerbe morphism $Q^{\circ^3} \to Q^{\circ^2}$ which sends $u_{34} \otimes u_{23} \otimes u_{12}$ to $\hat{m}(u_{34} \otimes u_{23}) \otimes u_{12}$. Notice that M_5 can also be written as $M_5 = m(1 \circ m)(m \circ 1 \circ 1)$. It is not too hard to see that we have the following isomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on $X^{[5]}$. We have $D_{M_1,M_2} = \pi_1^{-1}A$, $D_{M_2,M_3} = \pi_3^{-1}A$, $D_{M_3,M_4} = \pi_5^{-1}A$, $D_{M_4,M_5} = \pi_2^{-1}A^*$ and $D_{M_5,M_1} = \pi_4^{-1}A^*$. From Lemma 4.1 there is an isomorphism

$$D_{M_1,M_2} \otimes D_{M_2,M_3} \otimes D_{M_3,M_4} \otimes D_{M_4,M_5} \otimes D_{M_5,M_1} = D_{M_1,M_1},$$

and therefore , since D_{M_1,M_1} is canonically trivialised, the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\delta(A)$ on $X^{[5]}$ must be canonically trivialised. Here $\delta(A)$ is the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle given by

$$\delta(A) = \pi_1^{-1}A \otimes \pi_2^{-1}A^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}A \otimes \pi_4^{-1}A^* \otimes \pi_5^{-1}A.$$

We finally require that the induced section $\delta(a) = \pi_1^{-1}a \otimes \pi_2^{-1}a^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}a \otimes \pi_4^{-1}a^* \otimes \pi_5^{-1}a$ of $\delta(A)$ matches this canonical trivialisation. This coherency condition on the section a should actually be viewed as an equality of transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms as indicated in Figure 1. Notice that this bit of theory is possible precisely because the π_i are the face operators for a simplicial manifold. All that we have said applies equally well to an arbitrary simplicial manifold. Hence we make the following definition.

Definition 5.2 ([19]). A *simplicial bundle gerbe* on a simplicial manifold $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}$ consists of the following data.

1. A bundle gerbe (Q, Y, X_1) on X_1.
2. A bundle gerbe morphism $m \colon d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q \to d_1^{-1}Q$ over X_2.
3. A transformation $a \colon m_1 \Rightarrow m_2$ between the two induced bundle gerbe morphisms m_1 and m_2 over X_3. m_1 and m_2 are defined as in the following

diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
d_0^{-1}(d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q) \otimes d_2^{-1}d_2^{-1}Q \arrow[rr, equal] \arrow[d, "d_0^{-1}m \otimes d_2^{-1}d_2^{-1}1_Q"'] & & d_1^{-1}d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_3^{-1}(d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "d_1^{-1}d_0^{-1}1_Q \otimes d_3^{-1}m"] \\
d_0^{-1}d_1^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}d_2^{-1}Q \arrow[dd, equal] & & d_1^{-1}d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_3^{-1}d_1^{-1}Q \arrow[dd, equal] \\
{} \arrow[rr, phantom, "\xRightarrow{a}"] & & {} \\
d_2^{-1}(d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "d_2^{-1}m"'] & & d_1^{-1}(d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "d_1^{-1}m"] \\
d_2^{-1}d_1^{-1}Q \arrow[rr, equal] & & d_1^{-1}d_1^{-1}Q.
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $m_1 = d_2^{-1}m \circ (d_0^{-1}m \otimes d_2^{-1}d_2^{-1}1_Q)$ and $m_2 = d_1^{-1}m \circ (d_1^{-1}d_0^{-1}1_Q \otimes d_3^{-1}m)$. Thus a is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $A = D_{m_1,m_2}$ over X_3.

4. The transformation a satisfies the coherency condition

$$d_0^{-1}a \otimes d_1^{-1}a^* \otimes d_2^{-1}a \otimes d_3^{-1}a^* \otimes d_4^{-1}a = 1,$$

where 1 is the canonical section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\delta(A)$ over X_4.

Note that the coherency condition on the transformation a can also be viewed as the commutativity of a diagram of the form Figure 1. Clearly the notion of a simplicial bundle gerbe is a special case of Brylinski and McLaughlins definition of a simplicial gerbe [6]. To recover the definition of simplicial gerbe from Definition 5.2 above, simply replace each occurrence of the word 'bundle gerbe' by the word 'gerbe', 'bundle gerbe morphism' by 'gerbe morphism' and so on (strictly speaking we should insert certain canonical equivalences of gerbes where we have equalities of bundle gerbes, but this is of no real importance). Note that the associator transformation of gerbe morphisms in the definition of a simplicial gerbe can be interpreted as a section of a certain line bundle on X_3, and the coherency condition on the transformation can be interpreted as a coherency condition on sections of line bundles on X_4, as above.

We define a bundle 2-gerbe to be a special case of the above definition.

Definition 5.3 ([19])**.** A *bundle* 2*-gerbe* consists of a quadruple of smooth manifolds (Q, Y, X, M) where $\pi\colon X \to M$ is a smooth surjection admitting local sections and where $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ is a simplicial bundle gerbe on the simplicial manifold $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$ associated to $\pi\colon X \to M$.

So given a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M), we have a bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ and a bundle gerbe morphism $m\colon \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$. The bundle gerbe morphism m consists of a pair of maps $(\hat{m}, m)$, where $m\colon Y_{123} = \pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y \to Y_{13} = \pi_2^{-1}Y$ is a map commuting with the projections to $X^{[3]}$ and $\hat{m}\colon \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ covers $m^{[2]}\colon Y_{123}^{[2]} \to Y_{13}^{[2]}$ and commutes with the bundle gerbe products on $\pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q$ and $\pi_2^{-1}Q$. So fiberwise m is a map

$$m\colon Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)} \to Y_{(x_1,x_3)}$$

for $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X^{[3]}$ and $\hat{m}$ is a map

$$\hat{m}\colon Q_{(y_{23},y_{23}')} \otimes Q_{(y_{12},y_{12}')} \to Q_{(m(y_{23},y_{12}),m(y_{23}',y_{12}'))}$$

for (y_{23}, y_{12}), $(y_{23}^{'}, y_{12}^{'}) \in Y_{123}$. Thus for each pair of points (x_1, x_2) lying in the same fiber of $\pi\colon X \to M$, we obtain a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoid $\mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_2)}$. Given a triple of points (x_1, x_2, x_3) lying in the same fiber of $\pi\colon X \to M$ the bundle gerbe morphism m gives rise to a functor $m\colon \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_2,x_3)} \times \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_2)} \to \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_3)}$ as explained in Section 4. Let us denote the action of the functor m on a pair of objects (y_{23}, y_{12}) of $\mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_2,x_3)} \times \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_2)}$ by $y_{23} \circ y_{12}$. The transformation a gives rise to a natural transformation, also denoted a, between the functors bounding the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_3,x_4)} \times \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_2,x_3)} \times \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_2)} \arrow[r, "m\times 1"] \arrow[d, "1\times m"'] & \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_2,x_4)} \times \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_2)} \arrow[d, "m"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "a"] \\
\mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_3,x_4)} \times \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_3)} \arrow[r, "m"'] & \mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_4)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The coherency condition on the transformation a of bundle gerbe morphisms can be viewed as an *associativity coherence* condition on the natural transformation a. Let us briefly recall the definition of a *bicategory* [2]. A bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ consists of objects $A, B, C, \ldots$ and for each pair of objects A and B a category $\mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$. The objects of $\mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$ are called 1-*arrows* or 1-*cells* of $\mathcal{B}$ and the arrows of $\mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$ are called 2-*arrows* or 2-*cells* of $\mathcal{B}$. A 2-cell ϕ between 1-cells α and β of $\mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$ is denoted $\phi\colon \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$. Given three objects A, B and C of $\mathcal{B}$ there is a composition functor $\mathbf{Hom}(B, C) \times \mathbf{Hom}(A, B) \to \mathbf{Hom}(A, C)$ whose action on a pair of objects (α, β) of $\mathbf{Hom}(B, C) \times \mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$ (1-cells of $\mathcal{B}$) is denoted $\alpha \circ \beta$ and similarly for 2-cells. The composition functor is associative up to a coherent isomorphism. This means that given objects A, B, C and D of $\mathcal{B}$ with 1-cells $\alpha \in \mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$, $\beta \in \mathbf{Hom}(B, C)$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{Hom}(C, D)$ then there is an isomorphism

$$a(\gamma, \beta, \alpha)\colon (\gamma \circ \beta) \circ \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma \circ (\beta \circ \alpha)$$

in $\mathbf{Hom}(A, C)$ which is natural in A, B and C. The natural isomorphism a is called the *associator* natural isomorphism. The associativity coherence condition means that the well known pentagonal diagram commutes. One also requires that for every object A of $\mathcal{B}$ there is a 1-arrow 1_A of $\mathbf{Hom}(A, A)$ and for every 1-arrow $\alpha \in \mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$ of $\mathcal{B}$ there are left and right identity isomorphisms $L_\alpha\colon \alpha \circ 1_A \Rightarrow \alpha$ and $R_\alpha\colon 1_B \circ \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ which are natural in the 1-arrows α. These isomorphisms are finally required to satisfy the coherency condition that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\beta \circ 1_B) \circ \alpha \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "a(\alpha, 1_B, \beta)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "L_\beta \circ 1_\alpha"'] & \beta \circ (1_B \circ \alpha) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "1_\beta \circ R_\alpha"] \\
\beta \circ \alpha \arrow[r, equal] & \beta \circ \alpha
\end{tikzcd}$$

where α is a 1-arrow of $\mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$ and β is a 1-arrow of $\mathbf{Hom}(B, C)$. A bicategory in which all of the natural isomorphisms a, L and R are the identities is a 2-category.

One can define the notion of a *biequivalence* between bicategories; we will refer to [2] for this. One can show [13] that every bicategory is biequivalent to a 2-category. We also have the notion of a bigroupoid.

Definition 5.4 ([3])**.** A *bigroupoid* consists of a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ which satisfies the following two additional axioms.

1. 1-arrows are coherently invertible. This means that if α is a 1-arrow of $\mathbf{Hom}(A, B)$ then there is a 1-arrow β of $\mathbf{Hom}(B, A)$ together with 2-arrows $\phi\colon \beta \circ \alpha \Rightarrow 1_A$ of $\mathbf{Hom}(A, A)$ and $\psi\colon \alpha \circ \beta \Rightarrow 1_B$ in $\mathbf{Hom}(B, B)$.
2. All 2-arrows are invertible.

By a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bigroupoid we mean a bigroupoid $\mathcal{B}$ in which the automorphism group of every 1-arrow is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.5 ([19]). *For each point m of M, the restriction of a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) to the point m gives rise to a family of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bigroupoids $\mathcal{Q}_m$.*

We take as the objects of $\mathcal{Q}_m$ the points of $X_m = \pi^{-1}(m)$. Given two such points x_1 and x_2 we define the category $\mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ to be the category $\mathbf{Gr}(Q)_{(x_1,x_2)}$ defined above. It is clear that the bundle gerbe morphism m provides the composition functor and that the transformation a plays the role of the associator natural isomorphism. All we have to do then is to define left and right identity morphisms and show that they are compatible with a. We will not do this here and refer instead to [19]. Thus we can think of a bundle 2-gerbe as being a 'bundle of bigroupoids'.

6. THE HOMOTOPY BIGROUPOID AND THE TAUTOLOGICAL BUNDLE 2-GERBE.

An important example of a bigroupoid is the so-called *homotopy bigroupoid* or *fundamental bigroupoid* $\Pi_2(X)$ associated to a topological space X (see [1]). $\Pi_2(X)$ is defined as follows. The objects of $\Pi_2(X)$ are the points x of X. Given two points x_1 and x_2 the category $\mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ is defined to have as objects (1-cells of $\Pi_2(X)$) the paths $\gamma\colon I \to X$ with $\gamma(0) = x_1$ and $\gamma(1) = x_2$ where I denotes the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Given two such paths γ_1 and γ_2 the set of 2-cells $\gamma_1 \Rightarrow \gamma_2$ is defined to be the set of homotopy classes $[\mu]$ of maps $\mu\colon I \times I \to X$ such that $\mu(0, t) = \gamma_1(t)$, $\mu(1, t) = \gamma_2(t)$, $\mu(s, 0) = x_1$ and $\mu(s, 1) = x_2$. Two such maps μ and μ' belong to the same homotopy class if there is a map $H\colon I \times I \times I \to X$ such that $H(0, s, t) = \mu(s, t)$, $H(1, s, t) = \mu'(s, t)$, $H(r, 0, t) = \gamma_1(t)$, $H(r, 1, t) = \gamma_2(t)$, $H(r, s, 0) = x_1$ and $H(r, s, 1) = x_2$. To define the composite 2-cell $[\lambda][\mu]\colon \gamma_1 \Rightarrow \gamma_3$ for 2-cells $[\mu]\colon \gamma_1 \Rightarrow \gamma_2$ and $[\lambda]\colon \gamma_2 \Rightarrow \gamma_3$ we choose representatives μ and λ of $[\mu]$ and $[\lambda]$ respectively and define $[\lambda][\mu]$ to be the homotopy class of the map

$$(\lambda\mu)(s, t) = \begin{cases} \mu(2s, t) \ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \ t \in [0, 1], \\ \lambda(2s - 1, t), \ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that this law of composition is well defined and is associative. Notice that every 2-cell of $\Pi_2(X)$ is invertible. We need to define the composition functor

$$m\colon \mathbf{Hom}(x_2, x_3) \times \mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2) \to \mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_3).$$

If γ_{23} is a 1-arrow of $\mathbf{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and γ_{12} is a 1-arrow of $\mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ then we define $m(\gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})$ to be the path $\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12}\colon I \to X$ given by

$$(\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12})(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(2t), \ t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \gamma_{23}(2t - 1), \ t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & (\gamma_{45} \circ (\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23})) \circ \gamma_{12} \arrow[drr, Rightarrow, "a"] & & \\
((\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}) \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12} \arrow[urr, Rightarrow, "a \circ 1_{\gamma_{12}}"] & & & & \gamma_{45} \circ ((\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12}) \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "1_{\gamma_{45}} \circ a"] \\
 & (\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}) \circ (\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12}) \arrow[ul, Rightarrow, "a^{-1}"] & & \gamma_{45} \circ (\gamma_{34} \circ (\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12})) \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "a^{-1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

FIGURE 2. Associativity coherence condition for $\Pi_2(X)$

If $[\mu_{23}]\colon \gamma_{23} \Rightarrow \gamma'_{23}$ in $\mathbf{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and $[\mu_{12}]\colon \gamma_{12} \Rightarrow \gamma'_{12}$ in $\mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ are 2-arrows, we define $m([\mu_{23}], [\mu_{12}]) = [\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12}]$ to be the homotopy class of the map

$$(\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12})(s,t) = \begin{cases} \mu_{12}(s, 2t), & s \in [0,1],\ t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \mu_{23}(s, 2t-1), & s \in [0,1],\ t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$

where μ_{23} is a representative of the homotopy class $[\mu_{23}]$ and μ_{12} is a representative of the homotopy class $[\mu_{12}]$. Note that the map $\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12}\colon I \times I \to X$ defines a homotopy with endpoints fixed between $\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12}$ and $\gamma'_{23} \circ \gamma'_{12}$. It is straightforward to check that this defines a functor. We now need to define identity 1-arrows and identity 2-arrows. Given an object x of $\Pi_2(X)$, we define 1_x to be the constant path at x and the identity 2-arrow $1_x \Rightarrow 1_x$ to be the constant homotopy from the constant path to itself.

Next we define the associator isomorphism. Given 1-arrows γ_{34} in $\mathbf{Hom}(x_3, x_4)$, γ_{23} in $\mathbf{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and γ_{12} in $\mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ we need to define a 2-arrow

$$a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})\colon (\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12} \Rightarrow \gamma_{34} \circ (\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12}).$$

There is a standard choice for $a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})$ — see for example [18]. We set $a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})$ equal to the homotopy class of the map $\bar{a}(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})\colon I \times I \to X$ given by

$$\bar{a}(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})(s,t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{4t}{2-s}), & s \in [0,1],\ t \in [0, \frac{2-s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{23}(4t - 2 + s), & s \in [0,1],\ t \in [\frac{2-s}{4}, \frac{3-s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{34}(\frac{4t-3+s}{1+s}), & s \in [0,1],\ t \in [\frac{3-s}{4}, 1]. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

One can check that the assignment of the 2-arrow $a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})$ of $\mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_4)$ to the 1-arrow $(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})$ of $\mathbf{Hom}(x_3, x_4) \times \mathbf{Hom}(x_2, x_3) \times \mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ is a natural transformation $m \circ (m \times 1) \Rightarrow m \circ (1 \times m)$. We now have to check that the natural transformation a satisfies the associativity coherence condition. This means that we have to check that the diagram of 2-arrows in Figure 2 is the identity 2-arrow from $((\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}) \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12}$ to itself. We will omit the proof of this fact and refer to [19] where an explicit homotopy between the composed 2-arrow from $((\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}) \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12}$ to itself and the identity 2-arrow is given. To show that $\Pi_2(X)$ is a bicategory, we need to produce left and right identity isomorphisms. If $\gamma \in \mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ then $L(\gamma)$ is a 2-arrow $\gamma \Rightarrow \gamma \circ 1_{x_1}$. We define $L(\gamma)$ to be the homotopy class of the map

$$(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} x_1, \ t \in [0, \frac{s}{2}], \\ \gamma(\frac{2t-s}{2-s}), \ t \in [\frac{s}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Similarly if $\gamma \in \mathbf{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ then $R(\gamma)$ is a 2-arrow $R(\gamma)\colon \gamma \Rightarrow 1_{x_2} \circ \gamma$. We set $R(\gamma)$ equal to the homotopy class of the map

$$(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma((s+1)t), \ t \in [0, \frac{1}{s+1}], \\ x_2, \ t \in [\frac{1}{s+1}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

One can check (see [19]) that the assignments $\gamma \mapsto L(\gamma)$ and $\gamma \mapsto R(\gamma)$ define natural transformations and that moreover these natural transformations are compatible with a. Hence $\Pi_2(X)$ is an example of a bicategory. One can also show that the 1-arrows of $\Pi_2(X)$ are coherently invertible and, as mentioned earlier, all 2-arrows of $\Pi_2(X)$ are invertible. Therefore $\Pi_2(X)$ is a bigroupoid - the homotopy bigroupoid of X.

We will now use this description of the homotopy bigroupoid $\Pi_2(X)$ of X to define the tautological bundle 2-gerbe of [10] over a 3-connected manifold M. Recall that we start with a closed four form Θ on M with integral periods, representing a class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We then form the path fibration $\pi\colon \mathcal{P}M \to M$, where $\mathcal{P}M$ is the Frechet manifold consisting of piecewise smooth paths $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to M$, $\gamma(0) = m_0$ where m_0 is a basepoint of M, and where π is the map sending such a path γ to its endpoint $\gamma(1)$. The fibration $\pi\colon \mathcal{P}M \to M$ has fiber F equal to the space of piecewise smooth loops in M, ΩM.

We will define a simplicial bundle gerbe on the simplicial manifold $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^p$ and with face and degeneracy operators $d_i\colon X^{p+1} \to X^p$, $s_i\colon X^p \to X^{p+1}$ given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) &= (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{p+1}) \\ s_i(x_1, \dots, x_p) &= (x_1, \dots, x_i, x_i, \dots, x_p). \end{aligned}$$

Performing the construction for the simplicial manifold $X_\bullet$ above with $X = \Omega M$ fiber by fiber on $\mathcal{P}M$ will define the tautological bundle 2-gerbe. We start with a 2-connected manifold X and a closed 3-form ω on X with integral periods. We construct a bundle gerbe on $X^2 = X \times X$ in the usual way. We define a fibering $Y \to X^2$ with fiber $Y_{(x_1,x_2)}$ at $(x_1,x_2) \in X^2$ equal to the space of piecewise smooth paths $\alpha\colon I \to X$ with $\alpha(0) = x_1$ and $\alpha(1) = x_2$. Next we define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $Q \to Y^{[2]}$ whose fiber at $(\alpha, \beta) \in Y^{[2]}$ is all equivalence classes $[\mu, z]$ where $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\mu\colon I^2 \to X$ is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between α and β, that is $\mu(0,t) = \alpha(t)$, $\mu(1,t) = \beta(t)$, $\mu(s,0) = x_1$ and $\mu(s,1) = x_2$. The equivalence relation $\sim$ is defined by declaring $(\mu_1, z_1) \sim (\mu_2, z_2)$ if for any homotopy $F\colon I^3 \to X$ with endpoints fixed between μ_1 and μ_2 we have

$$z_2 = z_1 \exp(\int_{I^3} F^*(\omega)).$$

Here we say that F is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between μ_1 and μ_2 if we have $F(0,s,t) = \mu_1(s,t)$, $F(1,s,t) = \mu_2(s,t)$, $F(r,0,t) = \alpha(t)$, $F(r,1,t) = \beta(t)$, $F(r,s,0) = x_1$ and $F(r,s,1) = x_1$. One can define an associative product m_Q on $Q \to Y^{[2]}$ as in [10] by setting $m_Q([\mu, z] \otimes [\nu, w]) = [\mu\nu, zw]$, where $\mu\nu\colon I^2 \to X$ is defined by

$$(\mu\nu)(s,t) = \begin{cases} \nu(2s,t), \ s \in [0,\frac{1}{2}], \ t \in [0,1], \\ \mu(2s-1,t), \ s \in [\frac{1}{2},1], \ t \in [0,1]. \end{cases}$$

One can check, see [10], that this is well defined and associative. Next we define a bundle gerbe morphism $m\colon d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q \to d_1^{-1}Q$ with $m = (\hat{m}, m)$. So fiberwise m will be a map $Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)} \to Y_{(x_1,x_3)}$. m is defined by the composition functor in the bigroupoid $\Pi_2(X)$ so $m(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha \circ \beta$ where $\alpha \circ \beta\colon I \to X$ is the path from x_1 to x_3 given by

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)(t) = \begin{cases} \beta(2t), \ t \in [0,\frac{1}{2}], \\ \alpha(2t-1), \ t \in [\frac{1}{2},1]. \end{cases}$$

The map $\hat{m}\colon d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q \to d_1^{-1}Q$ covering $m^{[2]}$ is defined by $\hat{m}([\mu_{23}, z_{23}] \otimes [\mu_{12}, z_{12}]) = [\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12}, z_{23}z_{12}]$, where $\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12}$ is defined by the action of the composition functor m in the bigroupoid $\Pi_2(X)$ on 2-arrows. Hence $\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12}\colon I^2 \to X$ is the homotopy given by

$$(\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12})(s,t) = \begin{cases} \mu_{12}(s,2t), \ s \in [0,1], \ t \in [0,\frac{1}{2}], \\ \mu_{23}(s,2t-1), \ s \in [0,1], \ t \in [\frac{1}{2},1]. \end{cases}$$

Again, one can check (see [10]), that this is well defined and commutes with the bundle gerbe products. As usual, m defines two bundle gerbe morphisms $m_1 = (\hat{m}_1, m_1)$, $m_2 = (\hat{m}_2, m_2)$ between the appropriately defined bundle gerbes on X^4. So fiberwise m_1 and m_2 are maps $Y_{(x_3,x_4)} \times Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)} \to Y_{(x_1,x_4)}$ which are given by $m_1(\alpha_{34}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{12}) = (\alpha_{34} \circ \alpha_{23}) \circ \alpha_{12}$, $m_2(\alpha_{34}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{12}) = \alpha_{34} \circ (\alpha_{23} \circ \alpha_{12})$. $\hat{m}_1$ and $\hat{m}_2$ are defined in an analogous fashion. As we have already seen, there is a homotopy $m_1 \simeq m_2$. We can use this homotopy to write down a section $\hat{a}$ which trivialises the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q$ on $Y \circ Y \circ Y$. We have $\hat{a}(\alpha_{34}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{12}) = [\bar{a}(\alpha_{34}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{12}), 1]$ where $\bar{a}(\alpha_{34}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{12})\colon I^2 \to X$ is defined in equation 4. Recall that the associator natural isomorphism for the bigroupoid $\Pi_2(X)$ is defined via $\bar{a}$. The fact that this is a natural isomorphism is exactly the requirement that $\hat{a}$ descends to a section a of the bundle A on X^4. Finally, one needs to show that a satisfies the coherency condition over X^5 or, alternatively, that $\hat{a}$ satisfies the analogous coherency condition. Let $\delta(\hat{a})$ denote the 2-arrow in Figure 2 from $(\gamma_{45} \circ (\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23})) \circ \gamma_{12}$ to itself. In [19] an explicit homotopy from $\delta(\hat{a})$ to the identity 2-arrow at $(\gamma_{45} \circ (\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23})) \circ \gamma_{12}$ was written down. One checks easily that the pullback of ω by this homotopy is zero. This shows that a satisfies the required coherency condition.

7. The Čech 3-class associated to a Bundle 2-gerbe.

Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. We will explain how to construct a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ valued Čech 3-cocycle associated to Q. Choose an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M all of whose finite intersections are empty or contractible and such that there exist local sections $s_i\colon U_i \to X$ of $\pi\colon X \to M$. Form maps $(s_i, s_j)\colon U_{ij} \to X^{[2]}$ by sending a point m of U_{ij} to the point $(s_i(m), s_j(m))$ of $X^{[2]}$. Let (Q_{ij}, Y_{ij}, U_{ij}) denote the pullback of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ to U_{ij} via (s_i, s_j). Therefore $Y_{ij} \to U_{ij}$ is a local-section-admitting surjection and the fiber $(Y_{ij})_m$ of Y_{ij} at $m \in U_{ij}$ is $Y_{(s_i(m), s_j(m))}$.

Since U_{ij} is contractible, the bundle gerbe (Q_{ij}, Y_{ij}, U_{ij}) is trivial. Hence there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P_{ij} on Y_{ij} and an isomorphism $Q_{ij} \to \delta(P_{ij})$ over $Y_{ij}^{[2]}$ which commutes with the bundle gerbe products on Q_{ij} and the trivial bundle gerbe $\delta(P_{ij})$. The bundle gerbe morphism $m\colon \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ pulls back to define a bundle gerbe morphism $Q_{jk} \otimes Q_{ij} \to Q_{ik}$, also denoted m. In particular there is a map $m\colon Y_{jk} \times_M Y_{ij} \to Y_{ik}$ covering the identity on U_{ijk}. Let $\hat{P}_{ijk} = P_{jk} \otimes m^{-1}P_{ik}^* \otimes P_{ij}$. Thus $\hat{P}_{ijk}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on $Y_{jk} \times_M Y_{ij}$. Note that there is an isomorphism $\delta(\hat{P}_{ijk}) \to Q_{jk} \otimes (m^{[2]})^{-1}Q_{ik}^* \otimes Q_{ij}$ which commutes with the respective bundle gerbe products. Moreover, the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $Q_{jk} \otimes (m^{[2]})^{-1}Q_{ik}^* \otimes Q_{ij}$ has a canonical trivialisation provided by the bundle gerbe morphism m. The following Lemma follows easily from Example 3.1.

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) are bundle gerbes with a bundle gerbe morphism $f\colon P \to Q$. If P and Q are both trivial, so there exist $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles T_P and T_Q on X and Y respectively, with $\delta(T_P) = P$ and $\delta(T_Q) = Q$, then the bundle $T_P \otimes f^{-1}T_Q^*$ descends to M.*

Applying this result we see that $\hat{P}_{ijk}$ descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P_{ijk} on U_{ijk}. Next, over U_{ijkl} we have two induced bundle gerbe morphisms $m_1, m_2\colon Q_{kl} \otimes Q_{jk} \otimes Q_{ij} \to Q_{il}$. By Lemma 4.1 the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q_{il}$ on $Y_{ijkl} = Y_{kl} \times_M Y_{jk} \times_M Y_{ij}$ descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle A_{ijkl} on U_{ijkl}, and it is clear that $A_{ijkl} = (s_i, s_j, s_k, s_l)^{-1}A$. We will show that there is an isomorphism

$$A_{ijkl} = P_{jkl} \otimes P_{ikl}^* \otimes P_{ijl} \otimes P_{ijk}^*$$

of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on U_{ijkl}. Recall that the map $m_1\colon Y_{ijkl} \to Y_{il}$ is defined by composition: $Y_{ijkl} \overset{m\times 1}{\to} Y_{ijl} \overset{m}{\to} Y_{il}$, where $Y_{ijl} = Y_{jl} \times_M Y_{ij}$. It is not hard to show that $P_{kl} \otimes P_{jk} \otimes P_{ij} \otimes m_1^{-1}P_{il}^* \simeq \pi_{Y_{ijkl}}^{-1}(P_{ijl} \otimes P_{jkl})$. Similarly we get another isomorphism $P_{kl} \otimes P_{jk} \otimes P_{ij} \otimes m_2^{-1}P_{il}^* \simeq \pi_{Y_{ijkl}}^{-1}(P_{ijk} \otimes P_{ikl})$. Since we have an isomorphism $m_1^{-1}P_{il}^* \otimes m_2^{-1}P_{il} \simeq \pi_{Y_{ijkl}}^{-1}A_{ijkl}$, we get the required isomorphism $A_{ijkl} \simeq P_{jkl} \otimes P_{ikl}^* \otimes P_{ijl} \otimes P_{ijk}^*$ over U_{ijkl}. Now choose sections σ_{ijk} of P_{ijk} over U_{ijk} and define $g_{ijkl}\colon U_{ijkl} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\sigma_{jkl} \otimes \sigma_{ikl}^* \otimes \sigma_{ijl} \otimes \sigma_{ijk}^* \cdot g_{ijkl} = a_{ijkl}.$$

One can show that g_{ijkl} is a Čech 3-cocycle. We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 7.2 ([19]). *g_{ijkl} satisfies the Čech 3-cocycle condition*

$$g_{jklm}g_{iklm}^{-1}g_{ijlm}g_{ijkm}^{-1}g_{ijkl} = 1,$$

and hence is a representative of a class in $\check{H}^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times) = H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

There is another method of calculating the Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl} which is similar in spirit to the method used to calculate the Čech representative of the Dixmier-Douady class of a bundle gerbe. Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. Choose an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M all of whose finite non-empty intersections are contractible and such that there exist local sections $s_i\colon U_i \to X$ of π. Form the maps $(s_i, s_j)\colon U_{ij} \to X^{[2]}$ as above and again denote the pullback of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ to U_{ij} via (s_i, s_j) by (Q_{ij}, Y_{ij}, U_{ij}). In certain circumstances, for instance if $\pi_Y\colon Y \to X^{[2]}$ is a fibration, one can choose sections $\sigma_{ij}\colon U_{ij} \to Y_{ij}$ of $\pi_{Y_{ij}}\colon Y_{ij} \to U_{ij}$. Note that in general one would only be able to choose an open cover

$\{U_{ij}^\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma_{ij}}$ of U_{ij} such that there were local sections $\sigma_{ij}^\alpha\colon U_{ij}^\alpha \to Y_{ij}$ of $\pi_{Y_{ij}}$. We will assume here that we are in the former situation described above. For ease of notation denote $m(\sigma_{jk},\sigma_{ij})$ by $\sigma_{jk}\circ\sigma_{ij}$. Then we have a map $(\sigma_{ik},\sigma_{jk}\circ\sigma_{ij})\colon U_{ijk}\to Y_{ik}^{[2]}$ which sends $m\in U_{ijk}$ to $(\sigma_{ik}(m),(\sigma_{jk}\circ\sigma_{ij})(m))\in Y_{ik}^{[2]}$. Let Q_{ijk} denote the pullback $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(\sigma_{ik},\sigma_{jk}\circ\sigma_{ij})^{-1}Q_{ik}$ on U_{ijk}. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_{kl}\circ(\sigma_{jk}\circ\sigma_{ij}),\sigma_{il})^{-1}Q &= (\sigma_{kl}\circ(\sigma_{jk}\circ\sigma_{ij}),\sigma_{kl}\circ\sigma_{ik})^{-1}Q\otimes Q_{ikl}\\ &= (\sigma_{kl},\sigma_{kl})^{-1}Q\otimes Q_{ijk}\otimes Q_{ikl}\\ &= Q_{ijk}\otimes Q_{ikl}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $((\sigma_{kl}\circ\sigma_{jk})\circ\sigma_{ij},\sigma_{il})^{-1}Q = Q_{jkl}\otimes Q_{ijl}$. Also it is clear that

$$((\sigma_{kl}\circ\sigma_{jk})\circ\sigma_{ij},\sigma_{kl}\circ(\sigma_{jk}\circ\sigma_{ij}))^{-1}Q = A_{ijkl},$$

where we denote the pullback bundle $(s_i,s_j,s_k,s_l)^{-1}A$ on U_{ijkl} by A_{ijkl}. It follows as above that there is an isomorphism

$$A_{ijkl} = Q_{jkl}\otimes Q_{ikl}^*\otimes Q_{ijl}\otimes Q_{ijk}^*$$

of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on U_{ijkl}. Choose a section ρ_{ijk} of Q_{ijk} over U_{ijk} and define a map $\epsilon_{ijkl}\colon U_{ijkl}\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ by $\rho_{jkl}\otimes\rho_{ikl}^*\otimes\rho_{ijl}\otimes\rho_{ijk}^* = a(s_i,s_j,s_k,s_l)\epsilon_{ijkl}$. As above ϵ_{ijkl} satisfies the Čech 3-cocycle condition $\delta(\epsilon)_{ijklm}=1$ and hence is a representative of a class in $\check{H}^3(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times) = H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$. It is straightforward to check that these two methods of assigning a Čech 3-cocycle to a bundle 2-gerbe give rise to the same class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

It is also a straightforward exercise to define such notions as the pullback of a bundle 2-gerbe and the product of two bundle 2-gerbes and prove that the four classes behave as one would expect under these operations.

8. Bundle 2-gerbe Connections and 2-curvings

Just as there is a notion of a bundle gerbe connection on a bundle gerbe, there is also a notion of a bundle 2-gerbe connection on a bundle 2-gerbe (Q,Y,X,M). This requires a choice of both a bundle gerbe connection ∇ on the bundle gerbe $(Q,Y,X^{[2]})$ and a curving f for ∇.

Definition 8.1 ([19]). Let (Q,Y,X,M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. A *bundle 2-gerbe connection* on Q is a pair (∇,f_1) where ∇ is a bundle gerbe connection on the bundle gerbe Q and f_1 is a curving for ∇ such that the associated 3-curvature ω on $X^{[2]}$ satisfies $\delta(\omega)=0$.

For a proof that bundle gerbe connections always exist, see [19]. Note that this is a non-trivial fact to prove, as one has to deal with two complexes $(\Omega^p(X^{[\bullet]}),\delta_X)$ and $(\Omega^p(Y^{[\bullet]}),\delta_Y)$ associated to the two local-section-admitting surjections $\pi_X\colon X\to M$ and $\pi_Y\colon Y\to X^{[2]}$. The idea of the proof is to first choose any bundle gerbe connection ∇ on Q and any curving f for ∇. Then one can show that there is a two form $\mu\in\Omega^2(X^{[3]})$ such that $\delta(\omega)=d\mu$, where ω is the 3-curvature associated to the bundle gerbe connection ∇ and curving f. Similarly one can show that there is a one form $\alpha\in\Omega^1(X^{[4]})$ such that $\delta(\mu)=d\alpha$ and moreover $\delta(\alpha)=0$. Hence, using the exactness of the complex (2) one can solve the equation $\alpha=\delta(\beta)$ for some one form $\beta\in\Omega^1(X^{[3]})$. Continuing in this way one can show that it is possible to adjust the curving f by the pullback of a two form on $X^{[2]}$ so that the 3-curvature ω' associated to ∇ and the new curving f_1 satisfies $\delta(\omega')=0$.

Given a bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇, f_1) on a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) we can solve the equation $\omega = \delta(f_2)$ for some three form f_2. A choice of f_2 is called a 2-*curving* for the bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇, f_1). Given a choice of 2-curving f_2, we have $\delta(df_2) = 0$ and hence $df_2 = \pi^*(\Theta)$ for some necessarily closed four form Θ on M. We call Θ the *four curvature* of the bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇, f_1) and 2-curving f_2. We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 8.2 ([19]). *The four curvature Θ is a closed, integral four form on M which represents the image in $H^4(M; \mathbb{R})$ of the class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ represented by the Čech cocycle g_{ijkl}.*

As an example of this structure, consider the tautological bundle 2-gerbe on a 3-connected manifold M associated to a closed, integral four form Θ on M. Recall that the tautological bundle 2-gerbe $(Q, Y, \mathcal{P}M, M)$ was defined by constructing the tautological bundle gerbe on each fiber of $\pi\colon \mathcal{P}M \to M$. Another way of viewing this construction is to first pull back the four form Θ on M to $\mathcal{P}M$. Since $\mathcal{P}M$ is contractible we can solve $\pi^*\Theta = df_2$ for some three form f_2 on $\mathcal{P}M$. Then it is easy to see that the three form $\delta(f_2)$ on $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ is closed. Since M is 3-connected, $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ is 2-connected and we can construct the tautological bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, \mathcal{P}M^{[2]})$ on $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ from the three form $\delta(f_2)$ using the methods of [16] and [10]. $(Q, Y, \mathcal{P}M, M)$ is then the tautological bundle 2-gerbe. In [16] it is shown how to construct a bundle gerbe connection on the tautological bundle gerbe over $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ and a curving such that the associated 3-curvature is $\delta(f_2)$. This choice of bundle gerbe connection and curving therefore defines a bundle 2-gerbe connection on the tautological bundle 2-gerbe and f_2 provides a 2-curving for this bundle 2-gerbe connection. Θ is then the associated 4-curvature.

It can be shown [19] that given a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) with bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇, f_1) and 2-curving f_2 there is a class $D(Q, \nabla, f_1, f_2)$ in the Deligne hypercohomology group $H^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M \to \underline{\Omega}^3_M)$ associated to Q. As a consequence of this one can show that the class in $H^4(M)$ defined by the 4-curvature Θ equals the image in $H^4(M)$ of the class in $H^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ defined by the Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl}.

9. BUNDLE 2-GERBES AND THE FIRST PONTRAYGIN CLASS

Suppose we are given a principal G bundle $P \to M$, where G is a compact, simply connected, simple Lie group. Then it is well known that $\pi_2(G) = 0$ and $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. It is shown in [4] that there is a closed, bi-invariant three form ν on G with integral periods which represents the canonical generator of $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. If $G = SU(N)$, then ν is the three form $\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\operatorname{tr}(dgg^{-1})^3$.

Recall from [10] that we can define a bundle gerbe $(Q, \mathcal{P}G, G)$ on G with three curvature equal to ν. The fibre of $Q \to \mathcal{P}G^{[2]}$ at a point $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{P}G^{[2]}$ is the set of all equivalence classes $[\phi, z]$ where $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\phi\colon I^2 \to G$ is a homotopy with end points fixed between α and β. Two pairs (ϕ_1, z_1) and (ϕ_2, z_2) are declared equivalent if for all homotopies $F\colon I^3 \to G$ with end points fixed between ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 we have $z_2 = z_1 \exp(\int_{I^3} F^*\nu)$. The bundle gerbe product is defined by

$$[\phi_1, z_1] \otimes [\phi_2, z_2] \mapsto [\phi_1\phi_2, z_1z_2],$$

where $\phi_1\phi_2$ denotes the homotopy defined by

$$(\phi_1\phi_2)(s,t) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(2s,t) & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1/2 \\ \phi_2(2s-1,t) & \text{for } 1/2 \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

It is shown in [10] that this is well defined, associative, etc.

Proposition 9.1 ([19]). *The bundle gerbe $(Q, \mathcal{P}G, G)$ is a simplicial bundle gerbe on the simplicial manifold NG.*

Proof. We first need to define the bundle gerbe morphism $m = (\hat{m}, m, \mathrm{id})$ which maps

$$m : d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q \to d_1^{-1}Q.$$

Define $m : d_0^{-1}\mathcal{P}G \times_{G^2} d_2^{-1}\mathcal{P}G \to d_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}G$ covering the identity on $G^2 = G \times G$ by sending (α, β) to the piecewise smooth path $\alpha \circ \alpha(1)\beta$ given by

$$(\alpha \circ \alpha(1)\beta)(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(2t), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ \alpha(1)\beta(2t-1), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Next, we need to define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ equivariant map $\hat{m} : d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q \to d_1^{-1}Q$ covering

$$m^{[2]} : (d_0^{-1}\mathcal{P}G \times_{G^2} d_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}G)^{[2]} \to d_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}G^{[2]}$$

and check that it commutes with the bundle gerbe product. So take pairs (ϕ, z) and (ψ, w) where $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\phi : I^2 \to G$ and $\psi : I^2 \to G$ are homotopies with endpoints fixed between paths α_1, α_2 and β_1, β_2 respectively. Then we put

$$\hat{m}((\phi, z), (\psi, w)) = (\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi, zw)$$

where $\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi : I^2 \to G$ is the homotopy with endpoints fixed between $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_1(1)\beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_2(1)\beta_2$ given by

$$(\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi)(s,t) = \begin{cases} \phi(s,2t), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ \phi(0,1)\psi(s,2t-1), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We need to check firstly that this map is well defined — that is it respects the equivalence relation $\sim$ — and secondly that $\hat{m}$ commutes with the bundle gerbe products. So suppose $(\phi, z) \sim (\phi^{'}, z^{'})$ and $(\psi, w) \sim (\psi^{'}, w^{'})$, where ϕ and $\phi^{'}$ are homotopies with endpoints fixed between paths α_1 and α_2 and where ψ and $\psi^{'}$ are homotopies with endpoints fixed between paths β_1 and β_2. We want to show that

$$(\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi, zw) \sim (\phi^{'} \circ \phi^{'}(0,1)\psi^{'}, z^{'}w^{'}).$$

Therefore we want to show that for all homotopies $H : I^3 \to G$ with endpoints fixed between $\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi$ and $\phi^{'} \circ \phi^{'}(0,1)\psi^{'}$ we have

$$z^{'}w^{'} = zw \exp(\int_{I^3} H^*\nu).$$

Note that if $\Phi : I^3 \to G$ is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between ϕ and $\phi^{'}$ and $\Psi : I^3 \to G$ is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between ψ and $\psi^{'}$, then by integrality of ν we have

$$\exp(\int_{I^3} H^*\nu) = \exp(\int_{I^3} (\Phi \circ \Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu).$$

Therefore we are reduced to showing that

$$z^{'}w^{'} = zw\exp(\int_{I^3}(\Phi \circ \Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu).$$

We have

$$\exp(\int_{I^3}(\Phi \circ \Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu) = \exp(\int_{I^3}\Phi^*\nu)\exp(\int_{I^3}(\Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu).$$

By the bi-invariantness of ν, we get $(\Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu = \Psi^*\nu$, hence

$$\exp(\int_{I^3}(\Phi * \Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu) = \exp(\int_{I^3}\Phi^*\nu)\exp(\int_{I^3}\Psi^*\nu),$$

which implies the result. Hence $\hat{m}$ is well defined. It is a straightforward matter to verify that $\hat{m}$ respects the bundle gerbe products.

It remains to show that there is a transformation of the bundle gerbe morphisms m_1 and m_2 over $G \times G \times G$ which satisfies the compatibility criterion over $G \times G \times G \times G$. This has already been done above for the tautological bundle 2-gerbe and the proof given there carries over to this case. □

Suppose that we have a principal G bundle $\pi : P \to M$. Form the canonical map $\tau\colon P^{[2]} \to G$ defined by $p_2 = p_1\tau(p_1, p_2)$ for p_1 and p_2 in the same fiber. We can extend τ to define maps $\tau\colon P^{[q]} \to G^{q-1}$ for any $q \geq 2$ by

$$\tau(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_q) = (\tau(p_1, p_2), \ldots, \tau(p_{q-1}, p_q)).$$

Notice that τ defines a simplicial map $P^{[\bullet]} \to NG_\bullet$ between the simplicial manifolds $P^{[\bullet]}$ and $NG_\bullet$. Clearly the pullback bundle gerbe $\tau^{-1}Q = (\hat{Q}, \tilde{P}, P^{[2]})$ is a simplicial bundle gerbe on the simplicial manifold $P^{[\bullet]}$. We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 9.2. *The quadruple of manifolds* $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{P}, P, M)$ *is a bundle* 2*-gerbe.*

Brylinski and McLaughlin [6] [7] defined a canonical 2-gerbe associated to a principal G bundle P on M where G was a compact, simple, simply connected Lie group. They showed that the class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to this 2-gerbe was equal to the first Pontryagin class p_1 of the principal bundle P. If we calculate the four class associated to the bundle 2-gerbe $\tilde{Q}$ of Proposition 9.2 then we recapture the result of Brylinski and McLaughlin.

Proposition 9.3 ([6],[7])**.** *The class in* $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ *associated to the bundle* 2*-gerbe* $\tilde{Q}$ *is the transgression of* $[\nu]$*, that is the first Pontryagin class* p_1 *of* P*.*

Proof. We will calculate the Čech four class of the bundle 2-gerbe $\tilde{Q}$ and show that it is exactly equal to the Čech cocycle obtained by Brylinski and McLaughlin in [6] and [7]. We then apply Theorem 6.2 of [6] to conclude that this Čech four class is p_1. We calculate the Čech cocycle g_{ijkl} as follows. First choose an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M relative to which $\pi\colon P \to M$ has local sections s_i. Since $\tilde{P} \to P^{[2]}$ is a fibration, we can choose sections σ_{ij} of the pullback fibration $(s_i, s_j)^{-1}\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}_{ij} \to U_{ij}$. This is equivalent to choosing maps $\gamma_{ij}\colon U_{ij} \times I \to G$ such that $\gamma_{ij}(m, 0) = 1$ and $\gamma_{ij}(m, 1) = g_{ij}(m)$. Next we choose sections $\rho_{ijk}\colon U_{ijk} \to (\sigma_{ik}, \sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{ik}$. This amounts to choosing maps $\gamma_{ijk}\colon U_{ijk} \times I \times I \to G$ such that $\gamma_{ijk}(m, 0, t) = \gamma_{ik}(m, t)$, $\gamma_{ijk}(m, 1, t) = (\gamma_{ij} \circ g_{ij}\gamma_{jk})(m, t)$, $\gamma_{ijk}(m, s, 0) = 1$ and $\gamma_{ijk}(m, s, 1) = g_{ij}(m)g_{jk}(m)$. Such maps γ_{ijk} exist because G is simply connected. Define a section t_{ijkl} of the bundle $(\sigma_{il}, \sigma_{kl} \circ (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}))^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{il}$ by $t_{ijkl} = (e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ \rho_{ijk})\rho_{ikl}$. In a similar manner construct a section $s_{ijkl} = (\rho_{jkl} \circ e(\sigma_{ij}))\rho_{ijl}$ of the bundle

$(\sigma_{il}, \sigma_{kl} \circ (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}))^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{il}$. We make t_{ijkl} into a section of $(\sigma_{il}, (\sigma_{kl} \circ \sigma_{jk}) \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{il}$ by using the associator section: $a(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij})t_{ijkl}$. Finally we define the cocycle g_{ijkl} by $s_{ijkl} = a(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij})t_{ijkl} \cdot g_{ijkl}$. We can get an explicit formula for g_{ijkl} as follows: we choose a homotopy with endpoints fixed $H_{ijkl} \colon U_{ijkl} \times I \times I \times I \to G$ such that $H_{ijkl}(m, 0, s, t) = (\gamma_{ij} \circ g_{ij}\gamma_{jkl})\gamma_{ijl}(s, t)$, $H_{ijkl}(m, 1, s, t) = \bar{a}(\gamma_{kl}, \gamma_{jk}, \gamma_{ij})(\gamma_{ijk} \circ g_{ik}\gamma_{kl})\gamma_{ikl}(s, t)$, $H_{ijkl}(m, r, 0, t) = \gamma_{il}(m, t)$, $H_{ijkl}(m, r, 1, t) = (\gamma_{ij} \circ g_{jk}\gamma_{jk}) \circ g_{ik}\gamma_{kl}$, $H_{ijkl}(m, r, s, 0) = 1$ and $H_{ijkl}(m, r, s, 1) = g_{il}$ and we set $g_{ijkl} = \exp(\int_{I^3} H^*_{ijkl}\nu)$. This is just the integral of ν over the tetrahedron shown in the following diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & g_{ij} \arrow[dr] \arrow[ddl] & \\
1 \arrow[urr] \arrow[rrr, dotted] \arrow[dr] & & & g_{il} \\
 & g_{ik} \arrow[urr] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

as described in [6] and [7]. Thus our cocycle agrees with the cocycle defined by Brylinski and McLaughlin. □

10. Higher Gluing Laws

As a prelude to the discussion of trivial bundle 2-gerbes in the next section, we will discuss some features of 2-*descent* (see [3]). We have already seen that if we are given a family of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles P_i defined on an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of a manifold M such that there exist isomorphisms $\phi_{ij} \colon P_i \to P_j$ satisfying the cocycle condition $\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij} = \phi_{ik}$ then we can construct a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P defined on M which is locally isomorphic to P_i over each open set U_i. If we replace $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles by bundle gerbes then new complications arise. Rather than demanding that the equation $\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij} = \phi_{ik}$ is satisfied on the nose, we can settle for the weaker condition that there is a transformation of bundle gerbe morphisms $\psi_{ijk} \colon \phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij} \Rightarrow \phi_{ik}$ which satisfies a certain cocycle condition — the *non-abelian* 2-*cocycle condition.* We shall see that it is still possible to 'glue' the various bundle gerbes P_i together to form a bundle gerbe P on M.

Suppose we are given an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of a manifold M such that there exist bundle gerbes Q_i over U_i. Suppose also that over each intersection U_{ij} there exist bundle gerbe morphisms $\phi_{ij} \colon Q_i|_{U_{ij}} \to Q_j|_{U_{ij}}$. Suppose as well, that over each triple intersection U_{ijk} there exist transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms $\psi_{ijk} \colon \phi_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \phi_{ij}|_{U_{ij}} \Rightarrow \phi_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}}$. Finally, suppose that the diagram of transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms in Figure 3 commutes. If we let L_{ijk} denote the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{\phi_{jk}\circ\phi_{ij}, \phi_{ik}}$ on U_{ijk} then we have an isomorphism $L_{ijk} \otimes L_{ikl} = L_{ijl} \otimes L_{jkl}$ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on U_{ijkl} or, put another way, a canonical trivialisation $L_{jkl} \otimes L^*_{ikl} \otimes L_{ijl} \otimes L^*_{ijk} = 1$. The condition that the diagram of bundle gerbe transformations in Figure 3 commutes translates into the requirement that the induced section $\psi_{jkl} \otimes \psi^*_{ikl} \otimes \psi_{ijl} \otimes \psi^*_{ijk}$ matches this canonical trivialisation. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 10.1. *Suppose we are given an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of M and a triple $(Q_i, \phi_{ij}, \psi_{ijk})$ as described above. Then there is a bundle gerbe Q on M and bundle gerbe morphisms $\chi_i \colon Q|_{U_i} \to Q_i$ over U_i together with transformations $\xi_{ij} \colon \phi_{ij} \circ \chi_i \Rightarrow \chi_j$ which are compatible with the transformations ψ_{ijk}.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q_i \arrow[rr, "\phi_{ij}"] \arrow[dd, "\phi_{il}"'] \arrow[ddrr] & & Q_j \arrow[dd, "\phi_{jk}"'] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\psi_{ijk}"'] & & Q_i \arrow[rr, "\phi_{ij}"] \arrow[dd, "\phi_{il}"'] & & Q_j \arrow[dd, "\phi_{jk}"] \arrow[ddll] \\
{} & {} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\psi_{ikl}"'] & {} \arrow[rr, equal] & & {} & {} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\psi_{ijl}"'] & \\
Q_l & & Q_k \arrow[ll, "\phi_{kl}"'] & & Q_l & & Q_k \arrow[ll, "\phi_{kl}"] \arrow[ul, Rightarrow, "\psi_{jkl}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

FIGURE 3. The non-abelian 2-cocycle condition

Proof. Suppose the bundle gerbes Q_i are given by triples (Q_i, X_i, U_i). We first construct the bundle gerbe (Q, X, M). Let $X = \coprod_{i\in I} X_i$. Then the fibre product of X with itself over M is $X^{[2]} = \coprod_{i,j\in I} X_i \times_M X_j$. Suppose the bundle gerbe morphisms ϕ_{ij} are given by $\phi_{ij} = (\hat{\phi}_{ij}, \phi_{ij})$. Define a map $f_{ij}\colon X_i \times_M X_j \to X_j^{[2]}$ by sending $(x_i, x_j) \in X_i \times_M X_j$ to $(\phi_{ij}(x_i), x_j)$. Let $Q_{ij} = f_{ij}^{-1}Q_j$. Define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle Q on $X^{[2]}$ by setting $Q = \coprod_{i,j\in I} Q_{ij}$ with projection map $Q \to X^{[2]}$ induced by the various projections $Q_{ij} \to X_i \times_M X_j$. We want to show that the triple (Q, X, M) is a bundle gerbe. We first define the product in Q. This is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism $\pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ covering the identity on $X^{[3]}$ which satisfies an associativity condition on $X^{[4]}$. Since $X^{[3]} = X_i \times_M X_j \times_M X_k$ this amounts to finding a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle map $Q_{jk} \otimes Q_{ij} \to Q_{ik}$ satisfying an associativity condition over $X_i \times_M X_j \times_M X_k \times_M X_l$.

Let $u_{jk} \in (Q_{jk})_{(x_j,x_k)}$, $u_{ij} \in (Q_{ij})_{(x_i,x_j)}$ for $(x_i, x_j, x_k) \in X_i \times_M X_j \times_M X_k$. Then $u_{jk} \in (Q_j)_{(\phi_{ij}(x_i),x_j)}$ and $u_{ij} \in (Q_k)_{(\phi_{jk}(x_j),x_k)}$. Apply $\hat{\phi}_{ij}$ to u_{ij}. Then $\hat{\phi}_{jk}(u_{ij}) \in (Q_k)_{(\phi_{jk}(\phi_{ij}(x_i)),\phi_{jk}(x_j))}$. Using the bundle gerbe product in Q_k we have that

$$u_{jk}\hat{\phi}_{jk}(u_{ij}) \in (Q_k)_{(\phi_{jk}(\phi_{ij}(x_i)),x_k)}.$$

Let $\hat{\psi}_{ijk}$ denote the section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(\phi_{jk}\circ\phi_{ij}, \phi_{ik})^{-1}Q_k$ on $X_i|_{U_{ijk}}$ which descends to ψ_{ijk}. Using the bundle gerbe product in Q_k again, we have that

$$u_{jk}\hat{\phi}_{jk}(u_{ij})\hat{\psi}_{ijk}^{-1}(x_i) \in (Q_k)_{(\phi_{ik}(x_i),x_k)}.$$

We define a product in Q by sending $u_{jk}\otimes u_{ij}$ to $u_{jk}\cdot u_{ij} = u_{jk}\hat{\phi}_{ij}(u_{ij})\hat{\psi}_{ijk}^{-1}(x_i)$. We have to check that this product is associative. This follows easily from the following equation satisfied by $\hat{\psi}_{ijk}$:

$$\hat{\psi}_{ikl}(x_i)\hat{\phi}_{kl}(\hat{\psi}_{ijk}(x_i)) = \hat{\psi}_{ijl}(x_i)\hat{\psi}_{jkl}(\phi_{ij}(x_i)).$$

This equation is a consequence of the coherency condition satisfied by ψ_{ijk}. Therefore (Q, X, M) is a bundle gerbe. We now need to define the bundle gerbe morphism $Q|_{U_i} \to Q_i$. First of all we define a map $X|_{U_i} \to X_i$ covering the identity on U_i. If $x_j \in X_j$ and $\pi_{X_j}(x_j) \in U_i$, then $\phi_{ji}(x_j) \in X_i$. Since $X|_{U_i} = \coprod_{j\in J} X_j|_{U_i}$ this defines a map $X|_{U_i} \to X_i$. Now suppose $(x_j, x_{j'}) \in X|_{U_i}^{[2]}$ and $u_{jj'} \in Q_{(x_j,x_{j'})}$. So $u_{jj'} \in (Q_{j'})_{(\phi_{jj'}(x_j),x_{j'})}$. Hence applying $\hat{\phi}_{j'i}$ to u_{ij} means that $\hat{\phi}_{j'i}(u_{jj'}) \in (Q_i)_{(\phi_{j'i}(\phi_{jj'}(x_j)),\phi_{j'i}(x_{j'}))}$. Therefore

$$\hat{\phi}_{j'i}(u_{jj'})\hat{\psi}_{jj'i}^{-1}(x_j) \in (Q_i)_{(\phi_{ij}(x_j),\phi_{ij'}(x'_j))}.$$

This defines a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle map $Q|_{U_i} \to Q_i$. It is not hard to check that this map commutes with the bundle gerbe products on Q and Q_i and hence defines a bundle gerbe morphism $\chi_i \colon Q|_{U_i} \to Q_i$. Similarly, one can define a transformation of bundle gerbe morphisms $\xi_{ij} \colon \phi_{ij} \circ \chi_i \Rightarrow \chi_j$ which is compatible with ψ_{ijk}. $\square$

The triple $(Q_i, \phi_{ij}, \psi_{ijk})$ is called 2-*descent data* relative to the open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$. One can think of these 2-descent data as being objects of a 2-category **2-Desc**$(\mathcal{U})$. Let $(Q_i, \phi_{ij}, \psi_{ijk})$ and $(P_i, \tilde{\phi}_{ij}, \tilde{\psi}_{ijk})$ be two sets of 2-descent data. A 1-arrow from $(Q_i, \phi_{ij}, \psi_{ijk})$ to $(P_i, \tilde{\phi}_{ij}, \tilde{\psi}_{ijk})$ is a pair (f_{ij}, τ_{ij}) where $f_i \colon Q_i \to P_i$ is a bundle gerbe morphism and τ_{ij} is a transformation of bundle gerbe morphisms as pictured in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q_i \arrow[r, "f_i"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{ij}"'] & P_i \arrow[d, "\tilde{\phi}_{ij}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\tau_{ij}"] \\
Q_j \arrow[r, "f_j"'] & P_j
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is compatible with ψ_{ijk} and $\tilde{\psi}_{ijk}$. Given two 1-arrows (f_i, τ_{ij}) and (g_i, ρ_{ij}) a 2-arrow $(f_i, \tau_{ij}) \Rightarrow (g_i, \rho_{ij})$ is a transformation of bundle gerbe morphisms $\lambda_i \colon f_i \Rightarrow g_i$ which is compatible with τ_{ij} and ρ_{ij}. Horizontal and vertical composition in **2-Desc**$(\mathcal{U})$ is defined in the obvious manner.

The gluing procedure of Proposition 10.1 above allows us to define a 2-functor **2-Desc**$(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathbf{BGrb}_M$. The action of this functor on objects of **2-Desc**$(\mathcal{U})$ is clear: a triple $(Q_i, \phi_{ij}, \psi_{ijk})$ of 2-descent data is mapped to the bundle gerbe Q of Proposition 10.1. With a little work one can show that a 1-arrow (f_i, τ_{ij}) from $(Q_i, \phi_{ij}, \psi_{ijk})$ to $(P_i, \tilde{\phi}_{ij}, \tilde{\psi}_{ijk})$ induces a bundle gerbe morphism $f \colon Q \to P$ and that a 2-arrow $\lambda_i \colon (f_i, \tau_{ij}) \Rightarrow (g_i, \rho_{ij})$ between two 1-arrows (f_i, τ_{ij}) and (g_i, ρ_{ij}) induces a transformation of bundle gerbe morphisms $\lambda \colon f \Rightarrow g$. Both of these constructions are functorial.

Note that bundle gerbe morphisms do not glue together in the fashion that one would like. One would like to say that given bundle gerbes P and Q such that relative to some open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M there exist local bundle gerbe morphisms $f_i \colon P|_{U_i} \to Q|_{U_i}$ together with transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms $\tau_{ij} \colon f_i \Rightarrow f_j$ which satisfy the cocycle condition $\tau_{jk}\tau_{ij} = \tau_{ik}$, there exists a bundle gerbe morphism $f \colon P \to Q$ locally isomorphic to f_i. Unfortunately this is not true; it is however true for gerbes.

11. TRIVIAL BUNDLE 2-GERBES

In [16] it was shown that a bundle gerbe P had vanishing Dixmier-Douady class precisely when the bundle gerbe was trivial — ie P was of the form $\delta(T)$ for some $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle T. We would like to know under what conditions the four class of a bundle 2-gerbe is zero. We will define a certain class of bundle 2-gerbes, *trivial* bundle 2-gerbes and show that the four class associated to a bundle 2-gerbe belonging to this class vanishes. We will then prove that the converse is true.

Definition 11.1. Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. We say that Q is *trivial* if there exists a bundle gerbe (L, Z, X) on X together with a bundle gerbe morphism $\eta \colon \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L$ over $X^{[2]}$ and a transformation of bundle gerbe morphisms

θ as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[rr, "1\otimes m"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^{-1}\eta\otimes 1"'] & & \pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] \\
\pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] & & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "\theta"'] \arrow[d, "\pi_2^{-1}\eta"] \\
\pi_3^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[dr, "\pi_3^{-1}\eta"'] & & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}L \arrow[dl, equal] \\
& \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}L &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let us agree to call $\eta_1 = \pi_2^{-1}\eta \circ (1 \otimes m)$ and $\eta_2 = \pi_3^{-1}\eta \circ (\pi_1^{-1}\eta \otimes 1)$. Then θ is a section trivialising the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $B = D_{\eta_1,\eta_2}$ on $X^{[3]}$. Moreover there is a canonical isomorphism $\delta(B) = \pi_1^{-1}B \otimes \pi_2^{-1}B^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}B \otimes \pi_4^{-1}B^* = A$ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles over $X^{[4]}$. As a final condition we demand that the induced section $\delta(\theta) = \pi_1^{-1}\theta \otimes \pi_2^{-1}\theta^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\theta \otimes \pi_4^{-1}\theta^*$ of $\delta(B)$ is mapped to a under this isomorphism.

Suppose we are now given a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) with vanishing four class. We will make the additional assumption that $\pi_Y \colon Y \to X^{[2]}$ is a fibration. Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an open covering of M all of whose finite intersections $U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p}$ are empty or contractible and such that there exist local sections $s_i \colon U_i \to X$ of the surjection $\pi \colon X \to M$ over U_i. Define maps $\hat{s}_i \colon X_i \to X^{[2]}$ where $X_i = \pi^{-1}(U_i)$ by $\hat{s}_i(x) = (x, s_i(\pi(x)))$. Let (L_i, Z_i, X_i) denote the pullback of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ to X_i via the map $\hat{s}_i$. Then $Z_i \to X_i$ is a fibering with fibre $(Z_i)_x$ at $x \in X_i$ equal to $Y_{(x,s_i(\pi(x)))}$. One can also define maps $(s_i, s_j) \colon U_{ij} \to X^{[2]}$ in the usual fashion by sending $m \in U_{ij}$ to $(s_i(m), s_j(m)) \in X^{[2]}$. Let Y_{ij} denote the pullback of the fibration $Y \to X^{[2]}$ via this map. Choose sections σ_{ij} of the pullback fibering $Y_{ij} \to U_{ij}$. Now we can define maps $\phi_{ij} \colon Z_i \to Z_j$ by sending $y_i \in Z_i$ to $m(\sigma_{ij}, y_i) \in Z_j$. The ϕ_{ij} extend to define bundle gerbe morphisms $\phi_{ij} = (\hat{\phi}_{ij}, \phi_{ij}) \colon L_i \to L_j$ with $\hat{\phi}_{ij}(u_i) = \hat{m}(e(\sigma_{ij}) \otimes u_i)$ where e denotes the identity section of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$.

We now wish to define transformations $\psi_{ijk} \colon \phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij} \Rightarrow \phi_{ik}$ satisfying the non-abelian 2-cocycle condition over X_{ijkl}. To do this, first note that $\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}, y_i) \in Q_{(m(m(\sigma_{jk},\sigma_{ij}),y_i),m(\sigma_{jk},m(\sigma_{ij},y_i)))}$ where $\hat{a}$ denotes the lift of the associator section a to $Y \circ Y \circ Y$. Also, as in Section 7, let ρ_{ijk} denote a section of the pullback bundle $(m(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}), \sigma_{ik})^{-1}Q$ over U_{ijk}. Then $\hat{m}(\rho_{ijk} \otimes e(y_i)) \in Q_{(m(m(\sigma_{jk},\sigma_{ij}),y_i),m(\sigma_{ik},y_i))}$. Therefore

$$\hat{\psi}_{ijk}(y_i) = \hat{m}(\rho_{ijk} \otimes e(y_i))\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}, y_i)^{-1} \in Q_{(\phi_{jk}(\phi_{ij}(y_i)),\phi_{ik}(y_i))}.$$

Since the Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl} representing the four class of Q is trivial, one can show that it is possible to choose ρ_{ijk} so that the sections $\hat{\psi}_{ijk}$ defined above satisfy the non-abelian 2-cocycle condition. Therefore, using Proposition 10.1, one can form a bundle gerbe (L, Z, X) on X which is locally isomorphic to each (L_i, Z_i, X_i).

However, more is true. The bundle gerbes (L_i, Z_i, X_i) provide local trivialisations of the bundle 2-gerbe Q. To see this, note that the bundle gerbe morphism $m \colon \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ provides a bundle gerbe morphism $\eta_i \colon \pi_1^{-1}L_i \otimes Q \to$

$\pi_2^{-1}L_i$ by sending a point (y_i, y) of $\pi_1^{-1}Z_i \times_{X^{[2]}} Y$ to $m(y_i, y) \in \pi_2^{-1}Z_i$ and a point $u_i \otimes u$ of $\pi_1^{-1}L_i \otimes Q$ to $\hat{m}(u_i \otimes u)$. One can also define transformations θ_i as in Definition 11.1 above. It is possible to show [19], although it is very tedious, that η_i and θ_i are compatible with the 2-descent data $(L_i, \phi_{ij}, \psi_{ijk})$ relative to the open covering $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X. It follows that η_i and θ_i glue together to form a bundle gerbe morphism $\eta\colon \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L$ and a transformation θ as in Definition 11.1. Thus the bundle 2-gerbe Q is trivial.

One can show [19] that it is possible to remove the restriction that $\pi_Y : Y \to X^{[2]}$ be a fibration.

Proposition 11.2 ([19]). *The four class of a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) vanishes if and only if the bundle 2-gerbe Q is trivial.*

References

[1] M. A. Batanin, *Monoidal globular categories as a natural environment for the theory of weak n-categories*, Adv. Math. **136** (1998), no. 1, pp. 39–103.

[2] J. Bénabou, *Introduction to Bicategories*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 47, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967

[3] L. Breen, *On the classification of 2-gerbes and 2-stacks*, Astérisque No. 225, Société Mathématique de France, 1994.

[4] J.-L. Brylinski, *Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization*, Progr. Math., 107, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.

[5] J.-L. Brylinski, *Holomorphic Gerbes and the Beilinson Regulator*, K-theory (Strasbourg, 1992), Astérisque No. 226 (1994), 8, pp. 145–174.

[6] J.-L. Brylinski and D. A. McLaughlin, *The geometry of degree-four characteristic classes and of line bundles on loop spaces. I*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), no. 3, 603–638;

[7] J.-L. Brylinski and D. A. McLaughlin, *A geometric construction of the first Pontryagin class*, Quantum Topology, Ser. Knots Everything 3, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1993, 209–220.

[8] A. L. Carey and M. K. Murray, *Fadeev's anomaly and bundle gerbes*, Lett. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) no. 1, 29–36.

[9] A. L. Carey, J. Mickelsson and M. K. Murray, *Index Theory, Gerbes and Hamiltonian Quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **193** No. 1, (1997), 702–722.

[10] A. L. Carey, M. K. Murray and B. Wang, *Higher bundle gerbes and cohomology classes in gauge theory*, J. Geom. Phys. **21** (1997), 183–197

[11] J. L. Dupont, *Curvature and characteristic classes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 640, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[12] J. Giraud, *Cohomologie non abélienne*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Band 179, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.

[13] R. Gordon, A. J. Power and R. Street, *Coherence for Tricategories*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1995) No. 558

[14] N. Hitchin, *Lectures on Special Lagrangian Submanifolds*, in *Proceedings of School on Differential Geometry*, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, 1999.

[15] G. M. Kelly and R. Street, *Review of the Elements of 2-categories* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 420, Springer, Berlin 1974

[16] M. K. Murray, *Bundle gerbes*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **54** (1996), no. 2, 403–416.

[17] M. K. Murray and D. Stevenson, *Bundle gerbes: Stable isomorphism and local theory*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62** (2000), no. 3, 925–937, `math.DG/9908135`

[18] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966

[19] D. Stevenson, PhD Thesis *The Geometry of Bundle Gerbes*, University of Adelaide, 2000 `math.DG/0004177`

[20] D. Stevenson, *The Relationship of Gerbes with Bundle Gerbes*, in preparation.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

E-mail address: `dstevens@maths.adelaide.edu.au`